



# HUNTINGTON POLICE DEPARTMENT

Offense Report for Incident H20-14578

Nature: CHILD SAFETY  
Location:

Address: 411 EDITH BLVD  
HUNTINGTON IN 46750

Offense Codes: 11D, 11D, 100, 26C

Received By: BECHTEL A

How Received: O

Agency: 01

Responding Officers: WHITMAN B

Responsible Officer: WHITMAN B

Disposition: CAA 12/11/20

When Reported: 11:48:11 09/14/20

Occurred Between: 00:00:00 07/16/20 and 17:00:00 12/02/20

Assigned To:

Detail:

Date Assigned: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

Status:

Status Date: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

Due Date: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

## Complainant:

Last:

First:

Mid:

DOB: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

Dr Lic:

Address:

Race:

Sex:

Phone:

City:

## Offense Codes

Reported:

Observed:

Additional Offense: 11D FONDLING

Additional Offense: 11D FONDLING

Additional Offense: 100 KIDNAPPING/ABDUCTION

Additional Offense: 26C IMPERSONATION

## Circumstances

Responding Officers:

Unit :

WHITMAN B

104

Responsible Officer: WHITMAN B

Agency: 01

Received By: BECHTEL A

Last Radio Log: 11:49:28 09/14/20 CMLPT

How Received: O OFFICER REPORT

Clearance: 02 CASE REPORT

When Reported: 11:48:11 09/14/20

Disposition: CAA Date: 12/11/20

Judicial Status:

Occurred between: 00:00:00 07/16/20

Misc Entry:

and: 17:00:00 12/02/20

Modus Operandi:

Description :

Method :

## Involvements

09/15/22

Date	Type	Description	Relationship
01/11/21	Name	MCDONALD, LISA	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	GILG, RIEF AARON	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	GUERRIER, BROOKE MARIE	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	SCHILLING, KATHRYN	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	ASH, WENDY	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	TEUSCH, KRIS	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	JOHNSON, LAUREN GALE	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	DIRR, ASPEN MARIE	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	STOFFEL, HANNAH LEIGH	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	MCDONALD, MEG E	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	DITZLER, MATTHEW J	NAME MENTIONED
01/11/21	Name	DEGITZ, RUSSELL J	NAME MENTIONED
09/14/20	Name	JOHNSON, NICHOLAS EDWARD	SUSPECT
09/14/20	Name	LESCOE, DANA L	NAME MENTIONED
09/14/20	Offense	Offense#: 14929 - 5F - 1 count	Charged With
09/14/20	Offense	Offense#: 14930 - 6F - 1 count	Charged With
09/14/20	Offense	Offense#: 14931 - 6F - 1 count	Charged With
09/14/20	Offense	Offense#: 14932 - 6F - 1 count	Charged With
09/14/20	Cad Call	11:48:11 09/14/20 CHILD SAFETY	Initiating Call
01/11/21	Property	IDENTIFICATION 0	STOLEN

**Narrative**

H20-14578

On 9/14/20 around 11:00 A.M., I was informed by Huntington North High School's Assistant Principal about an incident that occurred involving a female student at Huntington North High School.

Huntington North's Assistant Principal Rod Richison advised JROTC teacher (Dana Lescoe) had come to his office to advise him of an incident a student had told him about involving another student. Dana advised the student [REDACTED] had disclosed to him that her friend [REDACTED] was having an inappropriate relationship with her Cross Country Coach at Huntington University. [REDACTED] advised the coach's name was Nicholas Johnson.

Assistant Principal Richison came to my office to report the incident. Assistant Principal Richison and I then spoke with [REDACTED] about the information she had reported to her teacher. [REDACTED] was visibly upset while speaking with Mr. Richison and I. [REDACTED] stated she had heard from two of her friends ([REDACTED] and [REDACTED]) that [REDACTED] and her Cross Country Coach at Huntington University had an inappropriate encounter over the summer. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] had disclosed to her friends [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that Coach Johnson had "fingered her" during a college visit in Oregon.

Assistant Principal Richison then contacted The Indiana Department of Child Services (DCS) to advise them of the incident and the information that was shared with him and I.

I then followed up with [REDACTED]. I asked [REDACTED] how her friend [REDACTED] was doing and if there was an incident she informed her of that might need to be reported to an adult or law enforcement. [REDACTED] advised she has not spoken to [REDACTED] that much because of her busy schedule. [REDACTED] could not recall any conversations with [REDACTED] that may need to be reported to law enforcement or an adult. During our conversation about sports and the activities [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are involved in at Huntington North High School, [REDACTED] shared with me that [REDACTED] was good friends with one of her coaches by the name of Nicholas Johnson. [REDACTED] stated, "[REDACTED] has house set for Nicholas and his wife and has gone to their house on multiple occasions."

I also had a conversation with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] advised similar things that [REDACTED] did with me. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] had been busy and she had not gotten then chance to speak with her that much. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] has not disclosed with her any information that may require an adult or law enforcement to be aware of. I asked [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] had been acting differently lately and [REDACTED] advised "Not to her knowledge and she has not noticed anything different about [REDACTED]."

In my interactions with [REDACTED] all of them advised they were close friends with [REDACTED].

On 9/15/20 around 7:30 A.M., [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] approached me while at school and advised me they needed to speak with me. I then went to the attendance office with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and asked them what I could help them with. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] advised they were not being completely truthful when I spoke with them yesterday. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] spoke with her last week about her coach Nicholas Johnson. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] had told her "The relationship with her coach Nicholas Johnson had gotten closer." I asked [REDACTED] to explain if she knew what [REDACTED] had meant by that. [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] had told her "Nicholas had done stuff for her". [REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] disclosed to her that Nicholas had kissed her towards the end of July (2020), when both of them had gone on a college visit to Oregon. [REDACTED] believed it was just [REDACTED] and Nicholas that had gone on a trip together for a college visit back in late July. I asked [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] had stated anything else that had happened between her and Nicholas. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] advised that is all [REDACTED] had told them.

09/15/22

██████ and ██████ advised they knew this was wrong and this situation had been weighing on them for multiple days, but they did not have the courage to tell an adult. ██████ and ██████ apologized multiple times for not being truthful at the beginning and stated they didn't want to lose a good friend over all of this because ██████ trusts them.

I informed Detective Sergeant Shane Blair about the information regarding this incident. This case will be forwarded to Detective Sergeant Blair for further investigation.

SRO Ben Whitman  
Unit 104  
HPD

\_\_\_\_\_  
Responsible LEO:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Approved by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

# **Sentryx Booking Information:**

Sentryx Booking Number: 7317

Name: JOHNSON, NICHOLAS EDWARD

Phone: (260)341-1624

DOB: 01/07/87

Assigned Bed: "

Booking Date: 12/11/20

Name Number: 5785

Address: 411 EDITH BLVD  
HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

Dr Lic: [REDACTED]

Current Location: "

## **Sentryx Arrest # 7352**

Time/Date: 10:50:00 12/11/20

Age at Arrest: 33

Arrest Type: LONG

Disposition:

Agency: 01

Location: 411 EDITH BLVD

Area: HPD

Officer: BLAIR S

Reference:

## **Sentryx Offense # 14929**

Statute: 35-42-4-7

Offense: 11D

Offense Reference:

Related Incident: H20-14578

Entry Code:

Court Code:

Offense Disposition:

NCIC:

Crime Class: 5F

Offense Area:

Offense Type: S

Law Jurisdiction: ISS

Offense Location:

Offense Time/Date: 11:48:11 09/14/20

Disposition Date: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

## **Sentryx Offense # 14930**

Statute: 35-42-4-7

Offense: 11D

Offense Reference:

Related Incident: H20-14578

Entry Code:

Court Code:

Offense Disposition:

NCIC:

Crime Class: 6F

Offense Area: HPD

Offense Type: S

Law Jurisdiction: ISS

Offense Location:

Offense Time/Date: 11:48:11 09/14/20

Disposition Date: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

## **Sentryx Offense # 14931**

Statute: 35-42-3-2

Offense: 100

Offense Reference:

Related Incident: H20-14578

Entry Code:

Court Code:

Offense Disposition:

NCIC:

Crime Class: 6F

Offense Area: HPD

Offense Type: S

Law Jurisdiction: ISS

Offense Location:

Offense Time/Date: 11:48:11 09/14/20

Disposition Date: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

## **Sentryx Offense # 14932**

Statute: 35-43-5-3.5

Offense: 26C

NCIC:

Crime Class: 6F

**Offense Reference:**

**Related Incident:** H20-14578

**Entry Code:**

**Court Code:**

**Offense Disposition:**

**Offense Type:** S

**Offense Area:** HPD

**Law Jurisdiction:** ISS

**Offense Location:**

**Offense Time/Date:** 11:48:11 09/14/20

**Disposition Date:** \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

**Property****Property Number:** 7507**Item:** IDENTIFICATION**Owner Applied Nmbr:****Brand:****Year:** 0**Meas:****Total Value:** \$0.00**Owner:****Agency:** 01 HUNTINGTON POLICE  
DEPARTMENT**Accum Amt Recov:** \$0.00**UCR:** IDD IDENTITY DOCUMENTS**Local Status:****Crime Lab Number:****Date Released:** \*\*/\*\*/\*\***Released By:****Released To:****Reason:****Comments:****Model:****Quantity:****Serial Nmbr:****Color:****Tag Number:****Officer:** BLAIR S**UCR Status:** SRL**Storage Location:****Status Date:** \*\*/\*\*/\*\***Date Recov/Rcvd:** 01/11/21**Amt Recovered:** \$0.00**Custody:** \*\*.\*.\*.\* \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

**Name Involvements:**

NAME21991

**MENTIONED :****Last:** LESCOE  
**DOB:** \*\*/\*\*/\*\***First:** DANA  
**Dr Lic:****Mid:** L  
**Address:** 450 MACGAHAN ST;  
HUNTINGTON NORTH HIGH  
SCHOOL  
**City:** HUNTINGTON, IN 46750**Race:** W      **Sex:** M**Phone:** [REDACTED]**SUSPECT :** 5785**Last:** JOHNSON  
**DOB:** 01/07/87**First:** NICHOLAS  
**Dr Lic:** [REDACTED]  
**Phone:** (260)341-1624**Mid:** EDWARD  
**Address:** 411 EDITH BLVD  
**City:** HUNTINGTON, IN 46750**Race:** W      **Sex:** M

NAME23469

**MENTIONED :****Last:** JOHNSON  
**DOB:** 05/04/87  
**Race:** W      **Sex:** F**First:** LAUREN  
**Dr Lic:**  
**Phone:** (260)519-1534**Mid:** GALE  
**Address:** 411 EDITH BLVD  
**City:** HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

NAME23466

**MENTIONED :****Last:** ASH  
**DOB:** \*\*/\*\*/\*\*  
**Race:** W      **Sex:** F**First:** WENDY  
**Dr Lic:**  
**Phone:** [REDACTED]**Mid:**  
**Address:** 1175 ETNA AVE # B;  
MCKENZIES HOPE  
**City:** HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

NAME23470

**MENTIONED :****Last:** DIRR  
**DOB:** 02/14/97  
**Race:** W      **Sex:** F**First:** ASPEN  
**Dr Lic:**  
**Phone:** [REDACTED]**Mid:** MARIE  
**Address:** [REDACTED]  
**City:** [REDACTED]

NAME23471

**MENTIONED :****Last:** STOFFEL  
**DOB:** 03/26/98  
**Race:** W      **Sex:** F**First:** HANNAH  
**Dr Lic:**  
**Phone:** [REDACTED]**Mid:** LEIGH  
**Address:** [REDACTED]  
**City:** [REDACTED]



NAME23485

## MENTIONED :

Last: DEGITZ  
DOB: 02/28/80  
Race: W Sex: M

First: RUSSELL  
Dr Lic:  
Phone:

Mid: J  
Address: [REDACTED]  
City: [REDACTED]

NAME23472

## MENTIONED :

Last: MCDONALD  
DOB: 10/12/01  
Race: W Sex: F

First: MEG  
Dr Lic:  
Phone: [REDACTED]

Mid: E  
Address: [REDACTED]  
City: [REDACTED]

NAME17876

## MENTIONED :

Last: SCHILLING  
DOB: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*  
Race: W Sex: F

First: KATHRYN  
Dr Lic: [REDACTED]  
Phone: [REDACTED]

Mid:  
Address: 1175 ETNA AVE # B;  
MCKENZIES HOPE  
City: HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

NAME23483

## MENTIONED :

Last: DITZLER  
DOB: 08/20/88  
Race: W Sex: M

First: MATTHEW  
Dr Lic: [REDACTED]  
Phone: [REDACTED]

Mid: J  
Address: [REDACTED]  
City: [REDACTED]

NAME15781

## MENTIONED :

Last: GUERRIER  
DOB: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*  
Race: B Sex: F

First: BROOKE  
Dr Lic: [REDACTED]  
Phone: [REDACTED]

Mid: MARIE  
Address: 2806 THEATER AVE; DIVISION  
OF FAMILY AND CHILDREN  
City: HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

NAME23468

## MENTIONED :

Last: TEUSCH  
DOB: \*\*/\*\*/\*\*  
Race: W Sex: F

First: KRIS  
Dr Lic:  
Phone: (260)356-6104

Mid:  
Address: 450 MACGAHAN ST;  
HUNTINGTON NORTH HIGH  
SCHOOL  
City: HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

NAME13046

**MENTIONED :**

**Last:** MCDONALD  
**DOB:** \*\*/\*\*/\*\*

**First:** LISA  
**Dr Lic:**

**Mid:**  
**Address:** 1151 W 500 N; CRESTVIEW  
MIDDLE SCHOOL  
**City:** HUNTINGTON, IN 46750

**Race:** W      **Sex:** F      **Phone:** (260)356-6210

NAME15675

**MENTIONED :**

**Last:** GILG  
**DOB:** 06/01/77  
**Race:** W      **Sex:** M

**First:** RIEF  
**Dr Lic:** [REDACTED]  
**Phone:** (260)356-6104

**Mid:** AARON  
**Address:** [REDACTED]  
**City:** [REDACTED]

Huntington City Police Department

H20-14578

On September 14, 2020 I was contacted by Huntington City Police Department (HPD) School Resource Officer (SRO) Ben Whitman reference students at Huntington North High School (HNHS) with information of sexual abuse involving [REDACTED] (age 16 at the time), hereinafter will be referred to as Victim 1, and Huntington University (HU) Cross Country Coach Nicholas E. Johnson (1/07/1987). I asked SRO Whitman to speak with the students who have come forward and to complete an initial report. I instructed SRO Whitman to make sure the Indiana Department of Child Services (DCS) child abuse hotline was contacted if after speaking with students there was indeed allegations of sexual abuse. A report was made with DCS and assigned for assessment. SRO Whitman's completed report is attached to this case report.

I was contacted by DCS Family Case Manager (FCM) Brooke Guerrier reference the report made to the child abuse hotline. FCM Guerrier stated she would be contacting the family and making arrangements for a child forensic interview at McKenzie's Hope. I was later contacted by FCM Guerrier and advised the interview was scheduled for September 15, 2020.

On September 15, 2020 a multidisciplinary team consisting of FCM Guerrier, FCM Emily Hayes, Huntington County Prosecutor Amy Richison, McKenzie's Hope Executive Director Katie Schilling, Victim's Advocate Wendy Ash, and this detective met at McKenzie's Hope to speak with Victim 1. The team met first with [REDACTED], mother of Victim 1, in a separate room while Victim 1 remained in the lobby. I began the interview with introductions and gathering identification information from [REDACTED]. I asked [REDACTED] what she knew about why she was here and she stated there were allegations of sexual misconduct/abuse. I asked [REDACTED] if she thought of anyone in particular when she heard these allegations and she responded in the negative.

I informed [REDACTED] the allegations involved a coach by the name of Nick and [REDACTED] stated that would be Nick Johnson. I asked [REDACTED] to explain the relationship between Mr. Johnson and Victim 1. [REDACTED] stated Mr. Johnson is the head cross country coach at HU and their families attend the same church. [REDACTED] stated they have become family friends and were first introduced to Nick and Lauren Johnson through Matt Ditzler [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated Mr. Johnson wants to recruit Victim 1 to HU and he has played an advisory role while Victim 1 has navigated athletics, injuries, and general fitness. [REDACTED] stated a year prior is when Mr. Johnson began speaking with Victim 1 about attending HU for athletics. Mr. Johnson has also spoken with Victim 1 about athletics professionally and what that would look like. [REDACTED] stated Mr. Johnson has assisted Victim 1 with therapy to treat injuries. [REDACTED] stated she and Victim 1 have had conversations with Mr. Johnson about attending HU on an athletics

scholarship. [REDACTED] stated Mr. and Mrs. Johnson understand Victim 1 and the pressures she faces with athletics and have been a good support for Victim 1. [REDACTED] stated they have become like an "aunt and uncle" to Victim 1.

[REDACTED] stated Victim 1 spends time with Mr. Johnson and the entire HU cross country team during team activities. Victim 1 will also turn to Mr. and Mrs. Johnson about personal issues at school or other areas she might be seeking advice. I asked [REDACTED] what her initial thought was when she heard me say the sexual abuse allegations involved Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson. [REDACTED] stated she is aware of comments that have been made in the past reference an inappropriate relationship between Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson. [REDACTED] stated she has had multiple conversations with Victim 1 about what she has heard and Victim 1 has assured [REDACTED] nothing inappropriate has ever happened with Mr. Johnson. [REDACTED] stated she has taken measures with Mr. and Mrs. Johnson to make sure Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson are not alone together to protect against accusations. I asked [REDACTED] when she first heard about these comments and she stated it was approximately two weeks ago. [REDACTED] stated Mr. Johnson told her that he was questioned about his relationship with Victim 1 by HU staff. [REDACTED] stated everyone is aware that Victim 1 spends a lot of time at HU to train and has been allowed, by Mr. Johnson, to use the HU facilities. I asked [REDACTED] if Victim 1 has ever traveled with HU anywhere for athletics and she responded in the negative.

I asked [REDACTED] to tell me how her conversations have gone with Victim 1 reference the comments that were being made in the community. [REDACTED] stated she "flat out asked" Victim 1 about the comments of an inappropriate relationship with Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 assured [REDACTED] there was nothing inappropriate. [REDACTED] stated she spoke with Victim 1 today assuming it involved Mr. Johnson and again Victim 1 was adamant nothing inappropriate has ever happened with Mr. Johnson. During this interview [REDACTED] provided me with a list of friends that Victim 1 would potentially confide in reference serious matters.

I asked [REDACTED] additional questions about the relationship with Mr. and Mrs. Johnson and [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 has spent time at the Johnson residence. [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 has stayed overnight at the Johnson's residence a "handful" of times but other HU runners were also there. [REDACTED] stated there has never been a time when just Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson were alone together at his residence. [REDACTED] stated there have been times when Mrs. Johnson would be out of town and Victim 1 would be at the Johnson residence but Hannah Stoffel and Aspen Dirr, both runners at HU, would have been there too.

[REDACTED] stated she was with Victim 1 and Mr. and Mrs. Johnson. [REDACTED] stated Mr. Johnson has officially declared scholarship money for Victim 1 with the HU athletics department if Victim 1 decided to attend. [REDACTED] stated this was discussed at the admissions office and she was informed HU has to "earmark" funds in advance with the athletic office for athletes they are recruiting. [REDACTED] stated Mr. and Mrs. Johnson are not aware of all the universities recruiting Victim 1. [REDACTED] stated today at the HU visit she received a telephone call from DCS reference this matter. [REDACTED]

stated Mr. and Mrs. Johnson were made aware of the brief conversation with DCS. [REDACTED] stated Mr. and Mrs. Johnson were "shocked as we were." [REDACTED] stated she has never seen any red flags with Mr. Johnson and knows appropriate boundaries are in place due to prior coaches in the Huntington community that found themselves in trouble in the past due to lack of boundaries.

I asked [REDACTED] about Victim 1 and any past incidents when Victim 1 was found to be dishonest. [REDACTED] replied in the negative and stated Victim 1 is "brutally honest." [REDACTED] stated she trusts her [REDACTED] and she trusts Mr. and Mrs. Johnson and went on to discuss recent character attacks, not related to this investigation, against Victim 1 from kids at school that have [REDACTED] on edge about where the allegations are coming from and the validity of the allegations. [REDACTED] was made aware the information that led to this investigation reportedly came from Victim 1 who told someone else what was stated in the DCS report and it was reported out of concern and not as an attack. I explained the interview process at McKenzie's Hope to [REDACTED] and asked how Victim 1 would feel being interview by a female versus a male. [REDACTED] stated she did not believe it would matter. This interview was audio recorded and later placed in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

It was decided by the team that I, a trained child forensic interviewer, would speak with Victim 1. I met alone with Victim 1 in an interview room while the rest of the team watched via closed circuit television. I began the interview by explaining my job to Victim 1 and pointing out the camera in the room as well as the earpiece I was wearing. I informed Victim 1 there was a team working with me who could hear and see our conversation. I asked Victim 1 to tell me about herself including school and home life. Victim 1 discussed extra-curricular activities and school. I asked Victim 1 to walk me through a typical day from beginning to end which Victim 1 did. I explained to Victim 1 she was not in any trouble and that was not why she was here today.

I asked Victim 1 if she knew why she was here today. Victim 1 stated there was an accusation that she was sexually abused but does not know who, when, or why. Victim 1 stated she would guess it involved Nick Johnson. I asked Victim 1 who Nick was. Victim 1 stated Nick is a close family friend and a running coach at HU. Victim 1 stated this isn't the first time something has been said. Victim 1 stated Nick was called into the high school with his boss because people said Nick was forcing Victim 1 to do things. I asked Victim 1 what she meant by "things" and Victim 1 stated sexual acts. I told Victim 1 she did not need to be concerned with anything she says in the room and she can quote people and know it is not going to offend me. Victim 1 stated she does not like to go into the details of what people have said because she knows it is not true. I informed Victim 1 I appreciate where she is coming from but the details are important so it can be documented and not revisited again in the future if additional allegations are made. Victim 1 stated this meeting was at the beginning of the school year and the rumors involved Nick forcing Victim 1 to give Nick oral sex. Victim 1 stated this rumor is what prompted Nick's meeting with Huntington County Community School Corporation (HCCSC) personnel. Victim 1 stated she heard this information from Nick so she and her

mother would not be caught off guard if they heard it from someone else. I asked Victim 1 if there were any other rumors and Victim 1 could not think of any.

I asked Victim 1 to describe her relationship with Nick and Lauren Johnson. Victim 1 stated during freshman year, prior to the state meet, she was introduced to Nick and Lauren to assist in preparing Victim 1 for the upcoming state meet. Victim 1 stated she ran with both Nick and Lauren and was provided workout assistance during this preparation time. Victim 1 stated she became closer to Lauren through Hannah Stoffel and that friendship. Victim 1 stated Nick began recruiting her to run at HU and during this time Nick stepped into a "father role" for Victim 1 after Nick realized Victim 1 was missing this component in her life. Victim 1 stated Nick and Lauren had continued to mentor her and support her with her athletic endeavors since freshman year. Victim 1 stated she is at Nick and Lauren's residence every week and is often there anytime the HU team is there. Victim 1 stated Nick is a therapist and has given her treatments following an injury. Victim 1 stated Nick has done back and hip adjustments on her and worked on ankle sprains in the past. Victim 1 stated this occurs in Nick's garage and Lauren or other athletes are always present. Victim 1 later stated Nick always asks "Is this okay" before doing an adjustment. I agreed with Victim 1 they should never be alone together because of Nick's position and her age and Victim 1 stated she could "whole heartedly" tell me they have never been alone together.

I spoke with Victim 1 again about honesty and telling the truth without giving any weight to the possible ramifications of telling the truth. Victim 1 stated she was going to tell the truth and nothing but the truth but at the same time will be honest that she really cares about Nick and he is very important to her life. Victim 1 stated a couple of weekends ago she had an anxiety attack, which happens often, and with her mother being out of town she turned to Nick and Lauren and they helped her. Victim 1 stated it breaks her heart because she sees this report as an attempt to tear apart the relationship she has with Nick and Lauren. Victim 1 stated she had to spend time today worrying about losing two of the most important people in her life and it is "frustrating."

I explained to Victim 1 how this report came about because things were said to someone. I asked Victim 1 if she had ever told anyone anything that would lead a person to believe something was happening that should not be happening with Nick. Victim 1 stated the only thing she can think of is she had spent the night at Nick and Lauren's house while her mother was out of town and maybe that led to the report. Victim 1 stated she doesn't really have many friends that she could confide in if there was something to tell. Victim 1 stated she could not think of anything else that someone might consider inappropriate from the outside looking in. Victim 1 described physical contact, outside of treatment, as high fives, fist bumps, and hugs. Victim 1 stated there are times during anxiety attacks that she needs a hug. Victim 1 stated she is not a "touchy person" and she does not like to be touched and that is just the way she is. Victim 1 stated this is true with her boyfriends as well. I asked Victim 1 if there has been anything sexual between her and Nick, even a kiss, and Victim 1 responded in the negative and stated, "He is 33 and married." Victim 1 assured me she would be honest with me today if anything inappropriate occurred. I informed Victim 1 I was still struggling with why something was said

in the first place and Victim 1 stated, "You and me both." I told Victim 1 I am being told it came from her and that she told a friend what was reported. I told Victim 1 at least three individuals have heard something about an inappropriate relationship between her and Nick and one person heard it directly from Victim 1. Victim 1 stated she cannot even think of three friends she has that she could speak with. Victim 1 named a few individuals she identified as friends. Victim 1 stated she has told her friends about the meeting Nick had with HCCSC personnel when that occurred. Victim 1 stated she told her friends at soccer practice the same things she told me (Nick forcing her to give him "head or oral sex"). Victim 1 stated she has had to talk about hard things in the past and she would do it again today if there was something to the allegations. Victim 1 stated she is confused by all of this and cannot begin to think of where the allegations came from. Victim 1 stated she does not want a rumor going around about her that is not true and that is a burden for her. Victim 1 continued to deny anything inappropriate ever occurred between her and Mr. Johnson so the interview was ended.

Victim 1 returned to the lobby and spoke with her mother while I met with the team to discuss the interview. The lobby camera was recording during this time. After reviewing this recording I heard Victim 1 tell her mother she could not think of three friends that would have said anything. [REDACTED] stated she told the team [REDACTED], hereinafter referred to as [REDACTED], was her friend. Victim 1 stated she had not talked to [REDACTED] recently and [REDACTED] was not one of the friends Victim 1 mentioned during her interview. The interview and lobby camera was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

It was previously determined that [REDACTED] was the only individual who stated they heard directly from Victim 1 what was reported to SRO Whitman. Based on this information I contacted [REDACTED] and made arrangements to speak with her and [REDACTED] at the HPD. On September 16, 2020 I met with [REDACTED] in an interview room while [REDACTED] remained in the lobby. [REDACTED] stated on Monday (9/14/2020) [REDACTED] text her stating she was getting called down to the attendance office and did not know why. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was questioned about Victim 1 and a coach at HU having an inappropriate relationship and [REDACTED] stated she did not know anything which [REDACTED] later found out was a lie. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] went to Campus Life that same night and afterwards came home and told [REDACTED] she had lied to her and the police. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was told by Victim 1 that things with the HU coach was becoming more physical. [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 went to school the following morning and told SRO Whitman more information than what [REDACTED] knew. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] told her about a trip to Oregon and how a fake email account was used and the real purpose of the trip was to get a dog. [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was contacted by Victim 1 last night (9/15/2020) asking [REDACTED] if she told anyone what Victim 1 told her and if so she needed her to be honest so Victim 1 could fix it. [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] to avoid Victim 1 for the time being. [REDACTED] stated this morning [REDACTED] went to school early and Victim 1 was already in the parking lot next to [REDACTED] parking spot. [REDACTED] stated as soon as [REDACTED] entered the parking lot Victim 1 began contacting her and [REDACTED] just told [REDACTED] to come home.



█████ stated she asked █████ more questions about the Oregon trip because it did not make sense to her based off of text messages from █████. █████ stated █████ was told by Victim 1 that Nick Johnson created a fake email address and emailed Victim 1 or her mother pretending to be a school official from Oregon inviting Victim 1 to an unofficial visit. █████ stated it had to be unofficial because an official visit would have to be reported to the school and due to COVID-19 restrictions Victim 1 had to travel alone. █████ stated Victim 1 told this to █████ near the end of July or early August 2020 and that Nick was going to Oregon to pick up a dog. █████ stated she told █████ there was no way Victim 1 and Nick are not having sex if they are in a hotel together in Oregon but █████ stated Victim 1 told █████ they did not do anything together but Nick has done stuff "for her." █████ stated she does not believe █████ knows what "for her" means but believes it is sexual in nature. █████ stated █████ still has the text messages she received from Victim 1. █████ then discussed a "frantic" phone call she received from █████ on July 18, 2020 at approximately 9:00 PM because she could not find Victim 1. █████ stated █████ called her because Victim 1 stated she was going to see █████ on her way back into town. █████ stated this never occurred. █████ stated █████ told her no one has been able to get in contact with Victim 1 for a couple of hours. █████ does not know how this situation resolved other than Victim 1 was located and everything was okay. █████ and █████ never asked █████ or Victim 1 anything more about this. █████ gave me permission to photograph the messages on █████ cellphone. I gathered identification information from █████ before ending the interview. █████ returned to the lobby and I had █████ come with me to an interview room. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

I began my interview with █████ by reassuring her that she was not in any trouble to aid in calming her nerves as she advised she was nervous while in the lobby. I gathered some background information from █████ before asking her to tell me what she heard from Victim 1. I asked █████ when she first heard of something concerning involving Victim 1. █████ stated this past Wednesday (9/09/2020) she parked next to Victim 1 in the school parking lot and they rolled down their vehicle windows. Victim 1 asked █████ if she could tell her something and █████ replied in the affirmative. Victim 1 proceeded to tell █████ that she (Victim 1) and Nick have been getting closer physically. █████ was terrified to ask what that meant. Victim 1 stated she has never done anything to him but he (Nick) has done things "for me." █████ stated another friend of hers was walking towards them so they quit talking.

█████ stated once inside the school she received a Snapchat message from Victim 1 in which Victim 1 stated Nick has kissed her. █████ stated this was all Victim 1 said. █████ stated she told Victim 1 she thought it was weird because of Nick's age. █████ stated Victim 1 responded with, "I know, I know, I know but I just needed to tell somebody." █████ stated she was so "freaked out" she did not know what to do as the information was so difficult to process. █████ again stated this conversation occurred on Snapchat and she no longer had the messages as they disappear after time.



█ stated she received messages from Victim 1 last night and this morning but she had not looked at the Snapchat messages because Victim 1 can see when she looks at the chats. █ looked at her messages and stated Victim 1 deleted the recent chats. █ handed me her cell phone and I photographed the messages we discussed. The two photographs were later placed into the digital evidence (ACT) file. The Snapchat image depicts the two deleted chats from Victim 1 today as well as a chat from yesterday in which Victim 1 stated, "Did you tell someone what I told you the other day. Please be honest I need to fix this." The iMessage image depicts a message from today at 7:54 AM in which Victim 1 asked, "Can we please talk?" █ did not respond. █ explained she does not talk much with Victim 1 currently due to their schedules and they really only talk in the parking lot since they park next to each other. I asked █ if she told anyone what Victim 1 told her. █ stated she told her friend █ the same day Victim 1 confided in █. █ also told █ about the trip to Oregon. █ stated this conversation occurred during homeroom.

I asked █ about a conversation she had with her mother about Victim 1 and a trip to Oregon. █ confirmed she heard this from Victim 1 but that conversation did not occur this past Wednesday. █ stated Victim 1 went to Oregon on a weekend around the time school started. █ stated Victim 1 told her about the trip to Oregon in person but she cannot remember where they were when this conversation took place. █ stated Victim 1 told her she (Victim 1) was going to go to Oregon with Nick to pick up a new dog. Victim 1 told █ a fake email account was setup by Nick to pretend to be the Oregon track coach. Victim 1 told █ the email would say Victim 1 would have to travel alone due to COVID-19 because it was an unofficial visit so they would not have to report it to the school. Victim 1 stated the email would say all travel was taken care of as well as being picked up from the airport. █ stated Victim 1 did go to Oregon and took photographs to send to her mother so she would believe she went to the University of Oregon on an unofficial visit. █ stated Victim 1 told her about this before the trip occurred. Victim 1 told █ what the plan was before it happened. █ stated Victim 1 told her that Victim 1's mother knew nothing about Nick going with Victim 1. I asked █ what Nick's last name was and she stated, "Johnson." I asked █ if she saw any pictures of Victim 1's trip to Oregon and she responded in the affirmative. █ stated Victim 1 sent her a picture of Victim 1 and Nick with the sunset in the background that was taken by a stranger. █ stated there was water in the image and it looked like a "beach type place." █ stated she received this image through Snapchat from Victim 1. █ stated she remembered Victim 1 stated she and Nick were on the same flight. I asked █ how Victim 1 got to the airport and she believed Victim 1 drove herself. █ stated she did not know sleeping arrangements in Oregon but knew Victim 1 stayed in a hotel funded by Nick. █ stated Victim 1 was excited about the trip because she wanted to visit the University of Oregon. █ later stated she assumed the kiss Victim 1 told her about occurred while in Oregon but she cannot remember if that was what Victim 1 said.

█ remembered additional information Victim 1 told her this past Wednesday in the school parking lot. █ stated Victim 1 told her Nick was "doing things" with Hannah Stoffel and Aspen (last name unknown) too. Aspen's last name was later determined to be Dirr. █ stated she was pretty sure Victim 1 stated they were having sex with Nick. █ stated both girls

are runners at HU. [REDACTED] stated she never thought Victim 1 would have ever done anything like this and stated maybe she does not know Victim 1 as well as she thought.

[REDACTED] stated everything she had told me was the truth but did say there was something she lied to her mother about. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I encouraged [REDACTED] to share this with her mother and redirected her to the case at hand. [REDACTED] remembered Victim 1 attended the first day of school (8/05/2020) but missed the following two days and that is when [REDACTED] believes Victim 1 traveled to Oregon. [REDACTED] recounted the chain of events that led to SRO Whitman being made aware of the allegations and it was consistent with the information I received from SRO Whitman.

I asked [REDACTED] if there was any reason specific sexual acts would have been alleged by anyone with information about this incident. [REDACTED] stated she and [REDACTED] could only assume what might have occurred based on what Victim 1 told [REDACTED] and that was discussed between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated when Victim 1 used the words "for me" she assumed Victim 1 was talking about "fingering and being eaten out by Nick." [REDACTED] stated she knew they had not had sex per Victim 1 but based on what Victim 1 said this is what [REDACTED] assumed happened. [REDACTED] stated she is scared for Victim 1 and did not want to be here today but everything she has told me today was the truth and is in no way intended to hurt Victim 1. I asked [REDACTED] if Victim 1 ever said anything to her about telling anyone and [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 did ask her not to tell anyone. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

After speaking with [REDACTED], I met with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in the HPD lobby. I spoke with [REDACTED] about attempting to recover communications from [REDACTED] cell phone involving this investigation. [REDACTED] provided me with verbal consent to perform a data acquisition on [REDACTED] cell phone. This process was completed immediately after my conversation with [REDACTED] and the device was returned the following day. Attached to this case report is a digital evidence forensic examination report detailing the process and findings. The recovered data was placed in the digital evidence (ACT) file. Below is a summarized conclusion of the relevant findings:

All tagged call logs involved communication with Victim 1 [REDACTED]. The call logs ranged in time from March 17, 2019 to September 16, 2020. On September 12, 2020 at 11:13 PM Victim 1 [REDACTED] was contacted by this device and the call lasted approximately seven minutes and thirty seconds. On September 16, 2020 this device received an incoming call from Victim 1 [REDACTED] at 7:38 AM. This call was not answered and was immediately followed by a FaceTime call at 7:38 AM which was not answered.

One image was tagged as evidence depicting who I know to be Victim 1 holding a black and white puppy. This image was viewed via Instagram on September 16, 2020.

In a tagged Instant Message (AppMessage) on August 23, 2020 at 2:35 PM this device [REDACTED] contacted Victim 1 [REDACTED] and stated "I see your car at Nick and Lauren's." To which Victim 1 [REDACTED] responded at 2:51 PM, "Yay for like a week when I came over Nick would pick me up but we said aye it let um know I'm here." On September 15, 2020 Snapchat account for [REDACTED] received an AppMessage from Snapchat account belonging to Victim 1 at 8:40 PM that read, "Did you tell someone what I told you the other day" followed by "Please be honest I need to fix this" at 8:46 PM. There was no response from this device. On September 16, 2020

at 7:54 AM this device [REDACTED] "Me, Myself, And, I" (device owner) was contacted by Victim 1 [REDACTED] via iMessage asking "can we please talk?" There was no response from this device.

I tagged 10 chats as evidence as the name of Victim 1 was included in the message thread or mentioned within the message thread. The tagged chats were from either the iMessage, Instagram, or Snapchat applications. The following names were mentioned in at least one of the tagged chats: [REDACTED], Victim 1, [REDACTED], [REDACTED] with the majority of chats involving [REDACTED], Victim 1, [REDACTED]. On September 14, 2020 at 9:35 PM in an iMessage conversation between "Me, Myself, And I" [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] wrote how they are doing what they are doing because they love Victim 1. [REDACTED] responded how she did not want Victim 1 to ruin her own life and hopefully Victim 1 would understand. There was nothing malicious in nature discovered on this device in reference to Victim 1. There were positive chat interactions between [REDACTED] and Victim 1 in which terms of endearment were exchanged as recent as September of 2020.

On September 17, 2020 I met with HNHS Principal Rief Gilg and Athletic Director Kris Teusch in AD Teusch's Office. I began the interview by rehashing the series of events that led to a report being made to the DCS for AD Teusch's benefit as Principal Gilg was already made aware by SRO Whitman. I briefly explained who had been interviewed to date and I expressed by belief that there was no malicious intent behind the allegations reported on behalf of AD. I expressed I believed Victim 1 was hiding something. I explained how I was advised of a meeting that took place involving Mr. Johnson and school officials and the allegations involved oral sex at that time. I informed AD Teusch and Principal Gilg this information was never reported to DCS or law enforcement.

Principal Gilg stated the initial concerns of HNHS staff with Mr. Johnson was recruiting violations with regards to eligibility. Principal Gilg stated AD Teusch met with Mr. Johnson and HU administrator Russ Degitz at HU reference these concerns but at no time were allegations of oral sex the reason for the meeting. AD Teusch stated they did discuss concerns about Mr. Johnson's relationship with Victim 1 but never about sexual interactions. AD Teusch stated this meeting took place around the time the school year began in August 2020. AD Teusch stated she had never had an individual conversation with Mr. Johnson but felt she needed to have a meeting with him because four coaches and one community member approached her with concerns about recruiting violations by Mr. Johnson. AD Teusch discussed free HU gear being given to Victim 1 and stated per the advice of the Indiana High School Athletic Association (IHSAA) AD Teusch had Victim 1 return all the items. AD Teusch stated the community member was concerned about the constant flow of students in and out of Mr. Johnson's residence. AD Teusch wanted Mr. Johnson to be aware of how the community is seeing things from the outside looking in and wanted this brought to his attention and HU's attention.

I asked AD Teusch if Victim 1 was ever singled out in the meeting at HU. AD Teusch stated Victim 1 was only discussed due to the amount of time spent with Mr. and Mrs. Johnson. AD Teusch stated Mr. Johnson did bring up how he had been accused of being Victim 1's boyfriend. AD Teusch also stated Mr. Johnson brought up two boys that Victim 1 had been dating and how the boys have been pushing themselves on Victim 1 sexually. AD Teusch stated Mr. Johnson brought this up and it was not asked of him as they were only concerned with

recruiting and how things might look to the community. AD Teusch stated Mr. Johnson was talking about so many "bizarre" things he might have mentioned being accused of forcing Victim 1 to perform oral sex on him but it was never the reason for the meeting. AD Teusch stated Mr. Johnson also brought up athletes on his HU team with past trauma, some sexual, and how he and his wife are helping the athletes get through these traumatic events.

I asked AD Teusch if she and/or Mr. Degitz discussed next steps and what boundaries should be in place. AD Teusch stated this was discussed; however nothing specific, but Mr. Johnson was encouraged to make all decisions with the public eye in mind. AD Teusch stated Mr. Johnson discussed how he does treatment out of his residence for athletes and offered for anyone to come by at any time to see how things are set up for treatments.

I asked AD Teusch if she had ever had any other concerns involving Mr. Johnson. AD Teusch stated approximately three weeks after this conversation with Mr. Johnson and Mr. Degitz she saw Mr. Johnson on HNHS property with a massage table setup near the HNHS cross country team. AD Teusch stated she contacted the head coach of the cross country team and was informed by this coach Mr. Johnson was invited to assist athletes with treatments. AD Teusch advised HNHS coaching staff Mr. Johnson was no longer to be on school property doing treatments as he was not employed by the HCCSC.

I asked AD Teusch about recruiting practices with high school athletes with abilities like Victim 1 and she stated this summer she met with Victim 1 and her mother reference recruiting. AD Teusch stated [REDACTED] was adamant people did not know where Victim 1 was visiting because she did not want "people in their business." AD Teusch stated this meeting would have occurred on July 28, 2020.

I asked AD Teusch if there was any reason a university would tell a high school athlete they had to be quiet about visiting their university because they did not want it to be deemed an official visit and they also had to travel without parents. Principle Gilg stated he was an AD in the past and he had never heard anything like that. AD Teusch stated it is common to have official and unofficial visits but parents are welcome to both. AD Teusch stated [REDACTED] did advise her Victim 1 had to go to college visits alone because of COVID-19 restrictions. AD Teusch stated she advised [REDACTED] she had every right to tell these universities she was coming with Victim 1 to these visits. Principle Gilg stated it would be "very super shady" and "really off to me" for any collegiate coach to tell an athlete to keep quiet about a visit. AD Teusch stated [REDACTED] brought up the concerns about Victim 1 attending college visits alone during their meeting in July. This interview was audio recorded and later placed in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

On September 21, 2020 and September 29, 2020 I sent preservation letters to AT&T Wireless and Verizon Wireless (Cellco Partnership) respectively reference cell phone records for Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson.

On September 29, 2020 I met with [REDACTED] at HNHS. I met alone with [REDACTED] in the attendance office conference room. I began the interview by gathering identification information from [REDACTED]. I asked [REDACTED] if she knew why I would want to speak with her and she stated she believed it had to do with Victim 1 and an incident involving vandalism. [REDACTED] explained how she has a good relationship with Victim 1 and how they would confide in one another about serious situations in their lives. [REDACTED] stated she did not have any concerns reference Victim 1 and she was unaware of an incident outside of the vandalism incident.

I asked [REDACTED] about a recent college visit Victim 1 had at HU and [REDACTED] stated she was aware of the visit. I asked [REDACTED] if she was aware of anything going on around that time with her mother and Victim 1 and she responded in the negative. I asked [REDACTED] about other college visits for Victim 1 and she at first responded in the negative but remembered a college visit to Oregon. [REDACTED] stated only Victim 1 flew to Oregon for the visit and stated due to her athletics Victim 1 gets some "crazy" opportunities. [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 was in Oregon for approximately three days. [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 sent some photographs from Oregon including pictures of starfish Victim 1 saw while there. [REDACTED] stated this trip was at the beginning of the school year. [REDACTED] again did not express any concerns for Victim 1 and did not have any questions for me. I informed [REDACTED] I would contact her mother reference this interview. This interview was audio recorded and later placed in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

I spoke with [REDACTED] following my conversation with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] contacted me before I had a chance to contact her. [REDACTED] was upset that I spoke with [REDACTED] so I assured her I did not provide any details reference this investigation but simply inquired about any concerns [REDACTED] might have reference Victim 1 and she had none. I informed [REDACTED] there would come a time I would need to speak with her and Victim 1 again but I was gathering additional information that I would then share with her at our next meeting.

The following search warrants and subpoenas were applied for and granted by the Huntington Circuit Court of Huntington County, Indiana:

- AT&T Wireless, granted on 9/24/2020 with requested information being received on 10/02/2020.
- Verizon Wireless, granted on 9/30/2020 with requested information being received on 11/02/2020.
- Huntington North High School, granted on 9/30/2020 with requested information being received on 9/30/2020.
- [REDACTED], granted on 10/01/2020 with requested information being received on 10/15/2020.
- Google, Inc., granted on 11/02/2020 with requested information being received on 11/05/2020.

- Comcast, granted on 11/10/2020 with requested information being received on 11/19/2020.
- Huntington University, granted on 12/07/2020 with requested information being received on 12/14/2020. The received information was placed in the digital evidence (ACT) file for the Huntington County Prosecutor's Office to review.

HCCSC records received on September 30, 2020 reference Victim 1 provided attendance records as well as student/parent contact information including but not limited to telephone numbers, addresses, and email addresses. HCCSC records for Victim 1 showed she was absent from school on both August 6, 2020 and August 7, 2020. This information is attached to this case report.

[REDACTED] records received on October 15, 2020 included an email between Victim 1 and [REDACTED] on August 4, 2020 with an attachment "Consent Form 2020.pdf" included in the email. The consent form was filled out and emailed back to Victim 1 on this same date. The consent form discussed a TrackTownUSA Inc. affiliated program and provided the email address GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com. This information is located in the digital evidence (ACT) file in the "Search 1" folder within the "[REDACTED]" [REDACTED] A search warrant was later applied for and granted for this email address as well as Victim 1's Gmail account. While conducting a public internet search of TrackTownUSA Inc. I found an email domain of @gotracktownusa.com and not the email address provided in the consent form document. I captured a screenshot of this information using a snipping tool and placed the image in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

Upon receiving call detail records (CDRs) from both AT&T (Victim 1's telephone number) and Verizon Wireless, Cellco (Mr. Johnson's telephone number) I used the CellHawk mapping tool by Hawk Analytics to map all CDRs provided by AT&T and Verizon Wireless using known cell tower locations. For both telephone numbers I plotted CDRs for the dates of August 5, 2020 to August 8, 2020. Using a snipping tool I captured screen shots of this activity and placed the images in the digital evidence (ACT) file in the "CellHawk Mapping Tool" folder. Each image was saved using a file name describing what is depicted in each image.

Using a snipping tool I captured location points for both telephone numbers individually prior to merging the location points for both telephone numbers and capturing this information using a snipping tool. I was able to determine that between the dates listed above both devices using the telephone numbers from the search warrants traveled from Huntington, IN to Chicago, IL to Portland, OR to Cannon Beach, OR to Eugene, OR to Portland, OR to Chicago, IL and back to Huntington, IN. Using a Windows screen recording application I created a video depicting the CellHawk animated map of the CDRs plotted chronologically by time, date, and

location. This video is located in the "CellHawk Mapping Tool" folder in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

Using the CDRs, mapping tool, and times I was able to determine where activity ended each evening. Using the mapping tool and comparing the location to Google maps I searched for hotels in the area movement stopped each evening. I located an area near the Portland, OR airport on the night of August 7, 2020 into the morning of August 8, 2020. According to Google maps there were too many hotels in the area to narrow my search. I proceeded to look at CDRs for the dates of August 6, 2020 to August 8, 2020 in search of all telephone numbers with a west coast area code. I searched each number until I found a telephone number that returned via a Google search to a hotel. During this process I found a telephone number that returned to the Fairfield by Marriott Portland Airport. I made contact with this establishment and received confirmation Mr. Johnson purchased one room, for one guest, and a king size bed with an arrival date of August 7, 2020 and departure date of August 8, 2020. The room was purchased with a [REDACTED]. I continued to search the CDRs to determine where Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 stayed on the night of August 6, 2020. I located an area in Eugene, OR when movement stopped during the late evening of August 6, 2020. Using the mapping tool and comparing the location to Google maps I found only three hotels in the searched area one of which being a Fairfield Inn & Suites. I contacted this establishment and received confirmation Mr. Johnson purchased one room, for one guest, and a king size bed with an arrival date of August 6, 2020 and departure date of August 7, 2020. This room was booked on July 22, 2020 using a [REDACTED] with a contact email of johnsonrunning@gmail.com. All received hotel information was later placed into the digital evidence (ACT) file.

On November 5, 2020 I received the requested Google information reference Victim 1's Gmail account and email address GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com. The following data was included in the data from Google reference GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com: subscriber information, Oregon University logo and mascot images, email records, and one contact for Victim 1. The subscriber information provided the name of [REDACTED] with an account creation date of July 16, 2020 at 4:30:40 UTC which is July 16, 2020 at 12:30:40 AM (EST). The account had a telephone number and recovery telephone number of (260) 341-1624 attached. The subscriber information included two IP Addresses with a specific date and time attached. All email information was in a single text document. Due to this, I copied individual emails from the text file and created several Word documents and saved them to the digital evidence (ACT) file in the "GoDucks Gmail Emails" folder. Each file was saved with a file name providing a description of the content included in the email. Eleven emails were saved as Word documents and below are file names, dates, and a brief description of each saved email:

- "Invite Email" on July 16, 2020 an invitation was sent to Victim 1's school email account. This email was an invite to the University of Oregon from [REDACTED] "Director of Operations and Assistant Women's XC/TF Coach." This email detailed a visit from August 6, 2020 to August 8, 2020.



- "Southwest Air Confirmation" on July 16, 2020 an email was forwarded to GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com from runxc\_nick@hotmail.com. This email confirmed the purchase of two Southwest airline tickets from Chicago-Midway to Portland, OR (Flight 1988) on August 6, 2020 with a return flight on August 8, 2020 (Flight 2200). The tickets were purchased by Nicholas Johnson with Mr. Johnson listed as a passenger as well as Victim 1. Total payment of \$615.92 made with [REDACTED].
- "Reply to Invite" on July 16, 2020 sent to GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com from Victim 1's school email account. This email confirmed interest in a visit to the University of Oregon.
- "Response to Victim 1's Questions and Confirmation" on July 16, 2020 sent to Victim 1's school email account as well as "Separate Southwest Air Confirmation for Victim 1." Mr. Johnson's name was removed from the Southwest Air confirmation sent to Victim 1. Mr. Johnson recommends not mentioning the visit to Victim 1's AD and signed the email as [REDACTED].
- "Personal Itinerary to Victim 1's Gmail Account" on July 16, 2020 was sent to Victim 1's Gmail account instead of her school email. This itinerary breaks down each day on August 6<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup>. It includes plans to pick-up a puppy on August 8, 2020 at 9:00 AM. It contains matching flight numbers from Southwest Air's confirmation email. It contains a visit to Cannon Beach, Ross, Nike, and "Driving tour of Eugene and photos for mom."
- "Reply with Reporting Question" on July 17, 2020 sent to GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com from Victim 1's school email account. This email asked if the visit was an official visit and if it had to be reported to the AD.
- "Additional Follow Up Questions from Victim 1 and Mom" on July 22, 2020 sent to GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com from Victim 1's school email account. Includes six questions about the trip to Oregon.
- "Response to Victim 1's Additional Questions" on July 26, 2020 sent to Victim 1's school email account. Mr. Johnson answers questions with regards to clothing, finances, travel arrangements once in Oregon, and the trip itinerary.
- "Consent Form Email" on August 1, 2020 sent to Victim 1's school email account. This email contained an attached consent form to be completed by Victim 1's parent due to her being under the age of 18. It states due to COVID-19 all visits will be unofficial and again instructed Victim 1 not to report the visit to the school AD. This email stated again Victim 1 had to travel alone due to risk of exposure and noted no guests would be allowed in the athlete's hotel room and that campus security would be monitoring this. This email was signed as [REDACTED].
- "Post Visit Thank You" on August 10, 2020 sent to Victim 1's school email account. A thank you email from "[REDACTED]" reference Victim 1's trip to Oregon.

Based on the signature line in the emails alleged to be from [REDACTED] from the University of Oregon I conducted a Google search based on this information. I found contact information for the 2020 Cross Country Coaching Staff at the University of Oregon with [REDACTED] listed as [REDACTED]. An email address of [REDACTED]



████████@uoregon.edu (not a Gmail account) was provided as well as a telephone number. Using a snipping tool I captured an image of this information and saved it to the digital evidence (ACT) file. I clicked on the hyperlink on ██████████ name which took me to a profile page containing a short biography, image, and the same email address and telephone number listed on the previous coaching information page. Using a snipping tool I captured an image of this information and saved it to the digital evidence (ACT) file.

The following data was included in the data from Google reference Victim 1's Gmail account: device information, subscriber information, photographs, Google drive information, contacts, and email records. All email information was in a single text document. Due to this, I copied individual emails from the text file and created several Word documents and saved them to the digital evidence (ACT) file. Each file was saved with a file name providing a description of the content included in the email. Five emails were saved as Word documents and below are file names, dates, and a brief description of each saved email:

- "Personal Itinerary" on July 16, 2020 from GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com matches the itinerary found in the emails of Gmail account GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com to Victim 1.
- "Workout Conversation and Talk of Attending HU" on September 17, 2020 a reply from njohnson@huntington.edu. This email included discussion of workouts, times, rest, and general training advice. In Victim 1's initial email she discusses being closer to signing, graduation, first practice as a Forester, first National Championship, Olympic trials, and the Olympics.
- The remaining three emails were all sent/received on September 30, 2020 to and from njohnson@huntington.edu. There is discussion about the investigation and how it is only intended to cause Victim 1, Mr. Johnson, and their families' pain even though nothing happened. There was discussion as to why some individuals have not been interviewed if in fact the truth was desired by investigators. Victim 1 apologizes and comments it would be better for everyone if she was not around Mr. Johnson and his wife so nothing could be made up. Victim 1 expressed the desire to "fix this" but went on to say it seems like she only makes things worse. Mr. Johnson stated they had done nothing wrong.

Several images and/or video were copied from Victim 1's Google Photos and saved to the digital evidence (ACT) file under the folder "Photos from Victim 1's Google Photos." Within this folder is a folder titled "Oregon Trip" and "Text Screenshots." Any images believed to have been taken in Oregon, based on timestamps and/or content within the image, were saved to the "Oregon Trip" folder. Any image depicting who I knew to be Mr. Johnson was also saved to the "Photos from Victim 1's Google Photos" folder with many of these images depicting a white in color puppy. Image 09b45545-59dd-46d1-a01f-de2b580eca45 depicts a selfie by Mr. Johnson who is shirtless in the image. IMG\_5741 depicts HU letterhead with a handwritten note to Victim 1 from Mr. Johnson stating, "If you sign with Huntington University, all of your wildest hopes and dreams will come true."

The "Oregon Trip" folder has images that depict Southwest Airlines digital tickets, images from an airplane, Mr. Johnson with a puppy, scenery, University of Oregon, Victim 1 running on trails, images of starfish ([REDACTED]), and images taken at a beach/ocean. IMG\_6635 matches the image described by [REDACTED] that Victim 1 sent via Snapchat while in Oregon. Image 1e7f4f95-d03b-40fe-b71f-5156dda7b45a depicts Victim 1 in a bed sleeping with a puppy. From my experience the bedding appeared to be hotel bedding. Once I determined the hotels Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 stayed in while in Oregon I searched the hotel website for room images. An image of a guest room with two double beds was available on the Fairfield by Marriott Portland Airport website and the comforter had the same textured design as the image with Victim 1 and the puppy. Using a snipping tool I captured an image from the Fairfield website and placed it in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

The "Text Screenshots" folder contains screenshots of messages between Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson as well as one screenshot of a WhatsApp code and a tweet discussing sexual immorality. The messages between Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson exchange terms of endearment, statements of wanting to hold or be held by the other, how Mr. Johnson's feelings have evolved for Victim 1, and a message from Mr. Johnson stating Victim 1 will become the most famous person to ever come from Huntington (timestamp of 11:08 PM).

On November 11, 2020 I spoke with [REDACTED] via telephone. I began by gathering identification information from [REDACTED]. I asked [REDACTED] if she knew why I might be calling and she stated she did not and could not think of anyone she knew in Indiana that I might be calling about. I informed [REDACTED] of the type of investigation I was conducting and asked her if she knew the name of Victim 1. [REDACTED] responded in the negative. I gave a brief background of Victim 1 to [REDACTED] as related to this investigation and explained there was a recent trip to the University of Oregon by Victim 1. I informed [REDACTED] of emails in my possession that show communication between her and Victim 1 to which [REDACTED] replied, "Whoa." I informed [REDACTED] I believed it is someone else using her name as part of a larger scheme. [REDACTED] stated, "Wow" several times as I explained this information to her.

I asked [REDACTED] about her personal email addresses and she described her university account, a Hotmail account, and a Gmail account. [REDACTED] stated she does not use the Gmail account often but had to have for Google services. [REDACTED] stated she uses her Hotmail account the most and rarely uses her Gmail account. [REDACTED] provided me with both email accounts but had to look up her Gmail account as she did not know it from memory. Both accounts used a combination of characters in her name and was not GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com. I asked [REDACTED] what email I could send emails to for her to review and she asked that I send emails to her Hotmail account. I forwarded [REDACTED] the consent form included in an email sent to Victim 1 from GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com. [REDACTED] received the email while we were speaking and was able to open the document. I directed [REDACTED] to the first line where TrackTown USA was mentioned and asked her about this organization. [REDACTED] stated TrackTown USA was a non-profit organization in Eugene, OR that coordinates and hosts different track and field events on campus and throughout the community. [REDACTED] stated

TrackTown USA is currently planning for the Olympic Trials in the summer of 2021 that are to be held at the University of Oregon. [REDACTED] went on to say she has never seen this form before. [REDACTED] stated this consent form is not something TrackTown USA would ever send to a recruit a university was speaking with and if they did reach out to an underage athlete reference an event they would use a form exclusive to them and not in addition to a university. [REDACTED] referenced the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com email address when making this statement and stated she did not recognize the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com email address. [REDACTED] does not know who organized that email address and it is not something the University of Oregon track program uses but stated it was possible it was an older account they no longer used. I informed [REDACTED] when the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com email was created and that her name was the name listed on the account. [REDACTED] chuckled and said, "Wow, okay." I explained to [REDACTED] this was how I first came across her name.

I provided [REDACTED] with the telephone number associated with the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com email address and asked that she search her contacts for the telephone number [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated, "Oh wow, I do have someone in my phone with that number." I asked who and [REDACTED] stated, "Nick Johnson." I asked [REDACTED] how she knew Mr. Johnson. [REDACTED] stated if she remembered correctly Nick was a coach or trainer for the Oregon Track Club Elite professional athlete group that trains in Eugene, Oregon. [REDACTED] stated the information she provided was saved in her contacts under Mr. Johnson's name. [REDACTED] stated Nick would have lived in Eugene "Years ago" and she believed Nick was a coach and his wife was an athlete but she could not remember his wife's name. [REDACTED] stated TrackTown USA originated out of the Oregon Track Club Elite. I asked [REDACTED] if she had ever spoken with Mr. Johnson face to face and she stated she might have but it would have been 6-8 years ago when he was last in Eugene. [REDACTED] stated she has had no correspondence with Mr. Johnson and she had never asked Mr. Johnson to assist her with any recruitment activities and specifically none involving Victim 1.

I asked [REDACTED] if she opened the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com account and she responded in the negative. I asked [REDACTED] if she gave anyone permission to open this account in her name and she responded in the negative. [REDACTED] stated this would have to be fraudulent activity as she was not the one who created this account.

[REDACTED] stated she did not know if it was relevant or not but now remembered years ago Mr. Johnson and his wife had an investigation done with regards to doping in the sport of track and field and believed they were either fired or fined by the anti-doping agency as a result of the investigation. [REDACTED] stated, "So he is not a good guy." [REDACTED] stated it is her understanding that this investigation led to Mr. Johnson no longer being a part of the Oregon Track Club Elite group.

I asked [REDACTED] if the campus was open currently and could the public visit the campus. [REDACTED] stated anyone could currently park and walk around campus and observe the facilities even with COVID-19 restrictions. [REDACTED] stated for National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) purposes they are on a recruiting dead period (since March 14, 2020) meaning their staff and coaches cannot have any in-person contact whatsoever with possible recruits. I asked

██████████ if she was concerned with telling me anything for fear of any NCAA violations and she responded in the negative.

I informed ██████████ I would be sending her an email (Invite Email) from the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com account with her name attached to the signature line. ██████████ acknowledged my statement and said, "Okay, this is wild." ██████████ reviewed the email and said, "Wow" again and went on to say how it was not even accurate because she is not an assistant coach. ██████████ again stated, "Wow, oh wow" and went on to say she did not send this email, did not write the email, and it would be a major NCAA violation if she would have sent this. ██████████ stated everything in the email is inaccurate and false. ██████████ again stated, "This is so wild." ██████████ expressed her desire for the person responsible for the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com account and the fraudulent use of her name to be held accountable for their actions. This interview was audio recorded and placed in the digital evidence (ACT) file. Following my conversation with ██████████ I emailed her a copy of each email from the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com account that used her name within the email communication. To each email ██████████ stated she did not write the email, did not give permission for anyone to use her name in the email, and had no knowledge of the email.

On November 19, 2020 I received the requested information from Comcast reference the IP addresses used to open the Gmail account GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com per the subscriber information received from Google. According to Comcast records the IP addresses returned to Nicholas Johnson of 411 Edith Blvd., Huntington, IN. A copy of this information was saved to the digital evidence (ACT) file.

On December 2, 2020 I had ██████████ and Victim 1 come to the Huntington City Police Department for interviews. I had Victim 1 wait in a separate interview room while I spoke with ██████████ alone. I began the interview by rehashing the last conversation I had with ██████████. I explained to ██████████ my only goal was to get the truth for all those involved. I asked ██████████ to tell me what she knew about Victim 1's visit to Oregon because we did not speak about this the last time we met. ██████████ stated it was an unofficial visit because Victim 1 did not want a lot of people to know about it. ██████████ stated Victim 1 was contacted by the University of Oregon track coach and she was flown out to Oregon for a visit. ██████████ did not remember the name of the coach. ██████████ stated she did not go with Victim 1 because it was an unofficial visit that was ran through a track organization in Oregon because there were currently no official visits taking place. ██████████ stated she drove Victim 1 to the airport and she went by herself to Oregon. I asked ██████████ if she would want to know if none of what she just told me was true and she said, "Absolutely."

I told ██████████ there have been lies and secrets that I need to tell her about and it was due to several search warrants that we gained this information. I proceeded to walk ██████████ through the findings of the multiple search warrants applied for and granted in this matter. I had ██████████ look at the email address on the consent form as well as the invite email from ██████████

█. I explained the process of gathering subscriber information for the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com account and the Comcast information showing the account was created by Mr. Johnson. I explained to █ the trip to Oregon was all a scheme for Mr. Johnson to be alone with Victim 1. █ stated she had "no clue" that Mr. Johnson was with Victim 1 in Oregon. I explained to █ my conversation with █ and how she told me she did not send any of the emails received by Victim 1. I asked █ if she gave Mr. Johnson permission to travel to Oregon with Victim 1 and she responded in the negative. I asked █ if she would have been aware of the plan would she have been okay with Mr. Johnson going with Victim 1 to Oregon and she responded, "Not just the two of them, no." I proceeded to tell █ of all the information I received from the search warrants detailed above in this report. This interview was audio and video recorded and is attached to this case report.

I met with Victim 1 alone in an interview room to discuss the findings in this investigation. I told Victim 1 I spoke with █ and based on information █ provided I applied for several search warrants and I shared that information with her mother. I informed Victim 1 her mother was upset right now because she loves her and cares for her and we believe she has not been honest with us. I told Victim 1 I wanted to speak with her about a trip to Oregon that we know was not as it was portrayed to be and this has upset her mother. I told Victim 1 I wanted to hear from her how the trip to Oregon was planned. Victim 1 stated she asked Mr. Johnson if she could go with him to Oregon. Victim 1 stated she wanted to see the world and she did not think her mother would have let her go. I told Victim 1 none of this was her fault and only lying to her mother was her fault. I told Victim 1 it was up to the adult to know right from wrong. I asked Victim 1 why someone would risk so much and go to such lengths to be alone with a sixteen year old girl. Victim 1 was quiet during this time and did not respond.

I told Victim 1 I wanted to know about the conversations that led up to the plan to use deceptive means and then asked Victim 1 if it was her idea. Victim 1 stated she asked Mr. Johnson if there was any way he could take her. Victim 1 stated she wanted to go on a college visit to Oregon so she suggested going alone now would make sense because of COVID-19. Victim 1 could not remember when or where this conversation took place but stated it might have been over a telephone call. I informed Victim 1 there was nothing she could say that would make Mr. Johnson in any less trouble. Due to past interviews and information gained during the investigation I believed it would be likely for Victim 1 to attempt to minimize or cover for Mr. Johnson. I told Victim 1 I knew what happened with regards to the trip to Oregon and how Mr. Johnson paid for hotel rooms with only one bed and Victim 1 interjected stating Mr. Johnson slept on the floor and she wanted to make that clear. I told Victim 1 that would be hard for her mother and Mr. Johnson's wife to believe and she stated, "I know."

I told Victim 1 that everything █ has told me so far has been the truth. I told Victim 1 I knew about the text messages she sent to █ the day after I first spoke with her and how she wanted to know if █ told anyone. I asked Victim 1 what she needed to fix. Victim 1 stated that she wanted to be the one to tell her mother the truth about the trip to Oregon. I asked Victim

I why she did not tell her mother and she stated she was scared because she made a mistake. I asked Victim 1 how she made a mistake. Victim 1 stated it was her fault for pushing Mr. Johnson to allow her to go with him. Victim 1 then spoke about a time in her life when she was "done with living" and Mr. Johnson was aware of this difficult time in her life. Victim 1 stated this made Mr. Johnson feel bad for her because she told him she hates her life and how the trip would make her feel better and how it would be an awesome experience. Victim 1 stated it was Mr. Johnson's fault for giving in but she still pushed him to take her with him.

I asked Victim 1 about what she said to [REDACTED] and how she stated things were becoming more physical with Mr. Johnson, they have kissed, and he has never made her do things to him but he has done things for her. Victim 1 stated there was a time she was in a bad mental state so she kissed Mr. Johnson and he pushed her away and told her it was wrong. Victim 1 stated this occurred after the trip to Oregon. Victim 1 stated they took some time not being friends after this happened. I asked Victim 1 about the other comments she made. Victim 1 stated it was a lie for extra attention from [REDACTED] because [REDACTED] was not being her friend anymore. Victim 1 stated she also made up a couple rumors to [REDACTED]. Victim 1 stated she said something about Aspen because she hates Aspen. I asked Victim 1 why she felt this way and she stated she was intimidated by Aspen athletically. I spent time talking with Victim 1 about her gifts and talents before Victim 1 interjected and stated the "One coach" that could take her gifts and talents to the next level is now getting into trouble. I told Victim 1 there are hundreds of coaches in the world and Victim 1 was shaking her head in disagreement. Victim 1 stated she needs a coach to coach her and give her the workouts to help her succeed and Mr. Johnson did that for her. Victim 1 stated she believes God put Mr. Johnson in her life to get her to that next level. Victim 1 stated Mr. Johnson is the only person in the world who thought she could accomplish her dreams and help her towards those dreams of being an Olympic athlete. Victim 1 stated she does not want to be separated from Mr. Johnson and they have moved past their mistakes. Victim 1 elaborated that the mistake was she forced herself onto Mr. Johnson. Victim 1 continued to justify Mr. Johnson's behaviors while blaming herself so I again explained how he was the adult and should have known better.

I told Victim 1 I believed she was being taken advantage of. I showed Victim 1 some of her screenshots of conversations between her and Mr. Johnson and pointed out the message where they told each other I love you. I told Victim 1 if Mr. Johnson loved her then he would not have done anything that would potentially harm her (referencing why we are here today). Victim 1 stated she did not believe that and that Mr. Johnson does love her. Victim 1 stated they have changed the dynamic of their relationship so I asked for clarification on this and she stated they no longer just text between them and now her mother or Mrs. Johnson is included. Victim 1 reviewed the messages I printed out from the digital (ACT) file and stated she did not see anything wrong with the messages. I spoke with Victim 1 about conditional love versus unconditional love and she stated Mr. Johnson loves her unconditionally and she loves him conditionally. Victim 1 stated she liked Mr. Johnson because he gave her the attention she always wanted. Victim 1 stated Mr. and Mrs. Johnson have told her they love her in front of each other because they are like a big family. I asked Victim 1 if Mrs. Johnson knew she was alone with Mr. Johnson in Oregon and Victim 1 responded in the negative.

I asked Victim 1 again about Aspen and what rumor she might have started. Victim 1 stated she could not remember. I asked Victim 1 if she told someone about Mr. Johnson being involved with Aspen. Again, Victim 1 stated she could not remember. I asked Victim 1 if it would be true if she told someone Mr. Johnson was involved with someone other than his wife and she responded in the negative. I asked Victim 1 if Mr. Johnson had been unfaithful to his wife and she responded in the negative. Victim 1 stated she "tried" with Mr. Johnson and he would not give into her. I asked what this meant and she referred to the one time she kissed Mr. Johnson. I asked Victim 1 specifically about Oregon and she stated nothing happened in Oregon. Victim 1 stated another reason Mr. Johnson has meant so much to her was because he was the one person who had never taken advantage of her. I did not believe what Victim 1 was telling me during our interview so I reminded her the only way she could be in any trouble was if she lied to me. Victim 1 continued to minimize Mr. Johnson's inappropriate and criminal actions with regards to the fraudulent means that led to her trip to Oregon and continued to say Mr. Johnson would not have done this if she would not have asked to go with him. Victim 1 stated she wanted to speak with Mr. Johnson and apologize. I again reminded Victim 1 of the fact Mr. Johnson was the adult, not her. Victim 1 asked how much trouble Mr. Johnson was going to be in and wanted me to guess after I told her I did not know the answer to her question. I explained the legal process to Victim 1 and told her she did not need to worry about the consequences because she was not in the wrong. I asked Victim 1 if there was anything else she needed to tell me because she was not truthful with me the last time we spoke and she responded in the negative. Before ending the interview I verified with Victim 1 her mother knew nothing about Mr. Johnson being on the trip to Oregon and she had not told anyone else about the truth behind the Oregon visit besides [REDACTED]. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

After speaking with Victim 1 I gave her some time to speak alone with her mother before I met alone with [REDACTED] in an interview room. I told [REDACTED] I believed Victim 1 was attempting to fall on the proverbial sword for Mr. Johnson. I informed [REDACTED] how Victim 1 attempted to say the trip was her idea but I did not believe this. [REDACTED] agreed it was too elaborate of a plan for Victim 1 to put together. I advised Victim 1 stated nothing sexual happened between her and Mr. Johnson other than a kiss but I told [REDACTED] I did not believe Victim 1. I told [REDACTED] not to allow any contact between Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson and [REDACTED] stated she took Victim 1's cell phone away. [REDACTED] stated the phone was locked and I told [REDACTED] she needed to get the passcode. I informed [REDACTED] I could, with her consent, perform a data acquisition of Victim 1's cell phone but that would be up to her. [REDACTED] stated she did not know what to do. I advised [REDACTED] to isolate the device from the network by placing it in airplane mode and recommended she look through the data on the device and contact me if she came across anything concerning. I informed [REDACTED] of the past suicidal ideations mentioned by Victim 1 during my interview. I suggested [REDACTED] keep an eye on Victim 1 and not leave her alone in the following days. I informed [REDACTED] of my concern that Victim 1 believes her entire future is dependent upon Mr. Johnson. I suggested [REDACTED] look into counseling for Victim 1. I informed [REDACTED] I would contact her following my



interview with Mr. Johnson if I learned of additional details Victim 1 did not disclose. This follow-up interview with [REDACTED] was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

HPD Detective Eric Fluck and Detective Ty Whitacre assisted in locating Mr. and Mrs. Johnson while I spoke with Victim 1. I asked Detectives Fluck and Whitacre to advise Mr. and Mrs. Johnson I needed to speak with them at the Huntington City Police Department. Contact was made and Mr. and Mrs. Johnson drove separately to the Huntington City Police Department for interviews. Mr. and Mrs. Johnson were asked to wait in separate interview rooms until I could speak with them.

I met alone with Lauren Johnson in an interview room at the Huntington City Police Department. I began the interview by introducing myself and gathering identification information from Mrs. Johnson. I asked Mrs. Johnson if she had any idea why she was here today and she stated, "I'm assuming so." I asked Mrs. Johnson to tell me why she thought she was here and she stated she assumed it involved Victim 1. I asked Mrs. Johnson who Victim 1 was to her and she stated [REDACTED] I asked Mrs. Johnson if there was anything involving Victim 1 that she believed she would need to speak with law enforcement about and she responded in the negative. Mrs. Johnson stated she has known Victim 1 [REDACTED]

I asked Mrs. Johnson why she thought she was here to talk about Victim 1 if she was unaware of anything involving Victim 1 that she would need to speak with law enforcement about. Mrs. Johnson stated she has spoken with Victim 1 and Victim 1's mother and they have told her some of the things law enforcement had spoken with them about. Mrs. Johnson stated she was made aware of accusations going around town involving Victim 1 and Nick's name has been pulled into this. I informed Mrs. Johnson my investigation involved sexual abuse allegations. I asked Mrs. Johnson her initial thoughts when she heard what the investigation was about and she stated it was not possible. I asked Mrs. Johnson why it was not possible. Mrs. Johnson described how Nick takes necessary steps to protect himself from such allegations and gave examples of efforts made not to be alone with athletes. I asked Mrs. Johnson if she has had any red flags concerning Nick and Victim 1 since hearing of possible allegations and she responded in the negative.

I asked Mrs. Johnson if Nick went to Oregon in August and she verified Nick did go to Oregon to pick of their new puppy. Mrs. Johnson stated she did not go with Nick and he traveled alone to Oregon. Mrs. Johnson stated she did not go to Oregon with Nick because they both would have had to self-quarantine upon return due to HU rules where they are both employed. I informed Mrs. Johnson of what I discovered during the course of my investigation involving Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 travelling to Oregon together under the guise of a college visit. I showed Mrs. Johnson the fraudulent email account used to communicate with Victim 1.



Mrs. Johnson saw the email was alleged to be from [REDACTED] and Mrs. Johnson stated she knew [REDACTED] from her time in Oregon. I walked Mrs. Johnson through the search warrant process of the fraudulent Gmail account and Comcast information I received. I asked Mrs. Johnson if she created the fraudulent Gmail account or if she had ever seen it before and she responded in the negative to both questions. I informed Mrs. Johnson based on this information someone at her residence created the Gmail account. I continued to go through the emails from the fraudulent Gmail account with Mrs. Johnson and she confirmed the dates of the fraudulent college visit were the same dates Nick traveled to Oregon to get their puppy. I showed Mrs. Johnson the emails from Southwest Airlines verifying travel for both Mr. Johnson and Victim 1. I informed Mrs. Johnson I spoke with [REDACTED] and she had no knowledge of any of the emails with her name attached that were sent to Victim 1. I advised Mrs. Johnson that Victim 1 and Nick traveled to Oregon together and Mrs. Johnson wanted to know if Victim 1 said this and if [REDACTED] was aware. Mrs. Johnson wanted to know if Victim 1 and Nick did in fact go to the University of Oregon. I advised Mrs. Johnson about the hotel accommodations for Nick and Victim 1 while in Oregon and Mrs. Johnson stated, "Honestly, this is all news to me." Mrs. Johnson wanted clarification as to whether or not Victim 1's mother knew Nick was going with Victim 1 to Oregon. I explained to Mrs. Johnson that [REDACTED] knew nothing about Nick going with Victim 1 to Oregon and how upset [REDACTED] was when she received this information. I showed Mrs. Johnson some of the screenshots from Victim 1's Gmail account I received in the search warrant return. Mrs. Johnson stated Nick is a very encouraging individual so some of the conversations are normal for Nick while other parts were "just weird."

I told Mrs. Johnson she did not have to answer my question but asked if Mr. Johnson had ever been unfaithful before. I could visibly see a change in Mrs. Johnson appearance after asking this question as her face reddened, her eyes began to water, and her breathing became heavier and more frequent. Mrs. Johnson stated she just found out this fall and then pulled the face covering she was wearing off her face. Mrs. Johnson stated Victim 1 is who told her Nick was being unfaithful. Mrs. Johnson stated she is aware of two other women Nick has been with and Victim 1 found out, confronted Nick, and made Nick tell her the truth. Mrs. Johnson stated when the allegations about Nick and Victim 1 surfaced she did not believe it because Victim 1 was the one who was "looking out for me." Mrs. Johnson stated Nick told her about the extramarital affairs on September 8, 2020. Mrs. Johnson stated one affair began in January of 2019 and the second began in the summer of 2020 with the affairs being "concurrent" until Victim 1 confronted Nick. Mrs. Johnson stated Nick confessed to her that he did have an affair with two HU runners, Hannah Stoffel and Aspen Dirr. Mrs. Johnson later stated Hannah and Aspen don't know about each other and both believe they were the only ones to have an affair with Nick. Mrs. Johnson stated Nick convinced her it was best they did not know about each other because they would be less likely to come forward about the affairs if they each thought they were the only one. Mrs. Johnson later stated both Aspen and Hannah had a difficult past and she believes Nick used this to get close to them which led to the affairs even though Nick said Aspen pushed herself on him. Mrs. Johnson continued to be upset and was crying at this time during the interview. I informed Mrs. Johnson when Victim 1 was asked about this information today she lied and stated she made it up. Mrs. Johnson stated Hannah and Aspen both admitted to her they did have an affair with Nick. I told Mrs. Johnson I believed Victim 1

was still lying to me and I am hoping to get the truth from Mr. Johnson. Mrs. Johnson made the comment Nick is "Very good at lying." I asked Mrs. Johnson if she had any questions for me before I spoke with Mr. Johnson. Mrs. Johnson went on to say I was the first person she has spoken with about Nick's affairs. Mrs. Johnson stated only those involved and Victim 1 are aware. Mrs. Johnson stated she had not said anything because she knew both her and Nick would lose their jobs at HU. Mrs. Johnson stated Nick promised her he would change and she talked about how they have been together since the age of 17. Mrs. Johnson was worried what this would do to their families. Mrs. Johnson stated she thought Victim 1 was "saving" her from this because if it was not for her she would not have known about Nick's affairs. Mrs. Johnson asked about possible charges for Nick and I informed Mrs. Johnson of the strong possibility of charges in this matter. Mrs. Johnson stated Nick would deny everything until I showed him the evidence. Mrs. Johnson stated she has never seen anything inappropriate between Nick and Victim 1. Mrs. Johnson stated the only thing she got on Nick about was his texting communication with Victim 1 and how he should not be texting a 16 year old at 11 o'clock at night. Mrs. Johnson stated as recent as two weeks ago she was upset with Nick for texting Victim 1 and she told him from now on he could not text Victim 1 unless she or Victim 1's mother was included. Mrs. Johnson stated since this conversation she has seen a couple times where Nick has messaged Victim 1 without including her or Victim 1's mother. When confronted about this Nick stated he forgot. Mrs. Johnson stated this happened as recent as today because Victim 1 messaged her and Nick in a group text about having to speak with the police today. I asked Mrs. Johnson if she goes through Nick's phone and she stated she does now after finding out about the affairs. Mrs. Johnson was aware of the passcode on Mr. Johnson's cell phone. I asked Mrs. Johnson if she was aware of Nick deleting text messages and she stated, "All the time." Mrs. Johnson referenced the prior affairs and how Nick told her he would delete their communication. Before I left the interview room Mrs. Johnson stated she felt terrible saying it but she hopes nothing happened between Victim 1 and Nick in Oregon but wouldn't be surprised because of Nick and not because of Victim 1. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

Nicholas E. Johnson (1/07/1987) arrived at the Huntington City Police Department at approximately 5:25 PM per my request. Mr. Johnson was asked to wait in an interview room while I spoke with Mrs. Johnson. At approximately 6:01 PM the interview room lights turned off due to inactivity and were off for less than one minute. Det. Whitacre activated the lights and per Mr. Johnson's request showed Mr. Johnson to the nearest restroom. Mr. Johnson returned to the interview room at approximately 6:03 PM. The interview room lights again turned off at approximately 6:33 PM due to inactivity and remained off until I entered the interview room at approximately 6:34 PM. I introduced myself to Mr. Johnson and asked if he needed any water to which he stated he already received water. I began the interview by gathering identification information from Mr. Johnson. During this time Mr. Johnson explained his date of birth was January 7, 1987 but on his social security card it was January 1, 1987. Mr. Johnson stated there was a mistake at the hospital and the social security department stated he would be charged a substantial amount of money to fix this mistake. No steps have been taken by Mr. Johnson to

correct this issue. Mr. Johnson stated his address was 411 Edith Blvd., Huntington, IN and his cellular telephone number was (260) 341-1624. Mr. Johnson stated he was employed at HU as a cross country coach. Mr. Johnson has been in this position for 2 ½ years.

I explained to Mr. Johnson he was not currently in custody but I was going to advise him of his rights because I wanted to ask him questions that could lead to incriminating responses. I read Mr. Johnson his Miranda Warning from the standard form used by this department. Mr. Johnson stated he understood his rights. I had Mr. Johnson read his wavier of rights aloud from the same form. Mr. Johnson stated he understood his waiver of rights. I advised Mr. Johnson there were allegations involving Victim 1 that were sexual in nature and I would like to ask him questions about this as well as questions about a trip to Oregon. I asked Mr. Johnson if he was willing to answer my questions based on what I just told him and he responded in the affirmative. Mr. Johnson signed the Miranda Warning and wavier of rights form.

I asked Mr. Johnson if he knew Victim 1 and he responded in the affirmative. Mr. Johnson stated he was first introduced to Victim 1 by her high school coach the spring of Victim 1's

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 began running with his HU team [REDACTED]. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 house set for the Johnson's that winter (2018-2019) while they were out of town. Mr. Johnson stated he became more involved with Victim 1's training at that point. Mr. Johnson stated he was contacted by Victim 1's coach, Matt Ditzler, reference an ankle injury Victim 1 suffered and wanted Mr. Johnson to provide treatment. Mr. Johnson provided treatment once every few weeks on Victim 1's ankle. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 continued to work out with Mr. and Mrs. Johnson [REDACTED] and their relationship grew into a father/daughter relationship. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 began opening up about her family history and issues at school [REDACTED]. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 struggled with the pressures of recruitment [REDACTED] so Mr. Johnson assisted with this.

Mr. Johnson stated he ended up taking Victim 1 with him on a college visit to Oregon while he was there to pick up a puppy. Mr. Johnson stated no one knows about that and Victim 1's mother does not know and Lauren does not know. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1's mother dropped her off at the airport and they flew out together. Mr. Johnson stated he stayed in the car while Victim 1 was in the hotel room and he only came in the room to shower and clean up from their runs while Victim 1 was gone. Mr. Johnson stated when they came back to Huntington there were other things that came up that caused them to be more careful with their interactions due to public perception. Mr. Johnson stated it has been a difficult past couple months.

I asked Mr. Johnson how he was able to get Victim 1's mother to allow Victim 1 to go to Oregon. Mr. Johnson stated he lied. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 received an invite to visit the University of Oregon and wanted to visit but was afraid to go by herself. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 knew he was going to Oregon to get a puppy and she wanted to go with him. Mr.

Johnson stated he told Victim 1 he would reach out to his contacts in Oregon about arranging a visit with the University of Oregon but due to COVID-19 the NCAA had stopped all official visits so that was not possible at that time. Mr. Johnson stated he never actually spoke with a coach at the university because the coaches he knew were no longer at the University of Oregon. Mr. Johnson stated due to this he created a fake email address and a template of what Victim 1 would do during the visit. Mr. Johnson stated he sent this to Victim 1's email to show to her mother. I showed Mr. Johnson the email address GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com and he verified that was the fake email account he created. I pointed to the name [REDACTED] on the invite email sent to Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson stated she [REDACTED] did not send that email and it was him who sent it. Mr. Johnson stated [REDACTED] would have no idea about the emails he sent in her name but the University of Oregon was actually interested in Victim 1 and had reached out to Victim 1. Mr. Johnson admitted to putting [REDACTED] name as the account holder on the GoDucksXCTF@gmail.com and his telephone number as the recovery number. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 was aware of the fake email account and that Mr. Johnson would be sending her documents. Victim 1 would share with Mr. Johnson concerns her mother had and Mr. Johnson would address those concerns in additional emails. Mr. Johnson referenced a consent form he sent for [REDACTED] to sign. I told Mr. Johnson that to me looking at this and before I could finish my statement Mr. Johnson stated, "It looks horrible." I told Mr. Johnson it looked like it took some time and effort to make all of this look legitimate. I told Mr. Johnson I was struggling with what reward could possibly be worth the risk to a 33 year old coach to go through all of this for a minor. This question was not answered.

I showed Mr. Johnson the itinerary he sent to Victim 1 so he could walk me through their trip to Oregon. I told Mr. Johnson I highlighted a few things (i.e., shower at hotel, bath in creek, sunset with time) in the itinerary that were odd to me and he explained they were common things he would include in his athlete itineraries and this was basically a copy and paste from one he had used before. Mr. Johnson stated he took Victim 1 to Sunset Rock at Cannon Beach on the first evening (August 6<sup>th</sup>) before driving to Eugene, OR and checking Victim 1 into the hotel. I showed Mr. Johnson a photograph of two individuals at the beach at sunset with a rock formation in the background and he verified that was a photograph of him and Victim 1 at Cannon Beach. Mr. Johnson stated they stayed at a Fairfield Suites. I told Mr. Johnson there was only one guest listed and Mr. Johnson stated he thinks he called the front desk and added Victim 1 to the room in case she got locked out. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 had the king bed and he slept on the sofa sleeper. Mr. Johnson stated at the Portland, OR hotel Victim 1 slept on the bed and he did not sleep. Mr. Johnson stated he went for a walk at one point and spoke with Lauren. Mr. Johnson stated he was upset about the trip and took another walk. I took time to explain to Mr. Johnson the importance of the truth in this matter and how only the truth can assist in helping all of those who have been affected by his actions.

I asked Mr. Johnson if something happened in Oregon when he was alone with Victim 1 that we (me, Lauren, Victim 1's mother) should be aware of. Mr. Johnson was quiet, slowly nodding his head, and looking down at this time. Mr. Johnson admitted to lying to me previously and stated he did sleep in the bed with Victim 1 the second night with the dog and he did lay in bed with Victim 1 the first night. Mr. Johnson stated he did not have sex with Victim

1 and they did not kiss. Mr. Johnson stated it was "really stupid" in reference to the whole trip. Mr. Johnson stated he put HU, his town, his family, and Victim 1 at risk. Mr. Johnson stated he did not use good judgment and he justified his actions by saying it was better for Victim 1's future to go on this trip. Mr. Johnson began talking about his poor treatment of his wife and how he does not deserve her. Mr. Johnson stated he had been trying to earn back her trust. I told Mr. Johnson I was aware of the affairs and he offered to walk me through that if I would like and I told him we would do that later.

I told Mr. Johnson Victim 1 had said something physical happened between them but I was not sure where it occurred. I told Mr. Johnson there was more we needed to talk about and he needed to tell me about anything that had happened between him and Victim 1 physically. I previously informed Mr. Johnson he could not move forward if there were still lies and secrets he was keeping. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 was in his garage where they have treatment tables but before finishing his thought he stated there was some background he wanted to discuss. Mr. Johnson began talking about how a year and a half ago he began experiencing fatigue and went to the doctor to get blood work done. Mr. Johnson stated he was having difficulty maintaining an erection with Lauren and it was causing issues in their marriage. Mr. Johnson stated he was ultimately prescribed testosterone cream. Mr. Johnson stated he did not tell Lauren about this medication because of her drug testing for professional running. Mr. Johnson stated there came a time he felt the medication was affecting his mental state and he was not thinking clearly. Mr. Johnson stated he stopped taking the medication for a while but he began to feel extremely fatigued again. Mr. Johnson stated it got to the point he made horrible choices and cheated with two different women over the past year and a half. Mr. Johnson stated Lauren and Victim 1 know about this. Mr. Johnson stated he is not blaming medication because he made his own mistakes but while on the medication he began having different thoughts he never had before and his memory began to struggle. Mr. Johnson stated in January of 2019 he began an affair with Aspen which continued on and off for a while. Mr. Johnson stated when he tried to stop things with Aspen he made a stupid mistake and "got seduced by another woman" and had sex with her a couple of times. Mr. Johnson later identified this second woman as Hannah. During all of this Victim 1 found a letter in Aspen's car that Mr. Johnson had written to Aspen. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 confronted him about the affair with Aspen so they ended up talking outside of his residence. Mr. Johnson stated they spoke for approximately an hour and he came clean with Victim 1 about Aspen and Hannah. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 gave him 12 hours to tell Lauren and or she was going to tell her. Mr. Johnson stated he and Victim 1 hugged before she left and he proceeded to go inside and tell Lauren everything. Mr. Johnson stated the following day Lauren spoke with Aspen and Hannah. Mr. Johnson stated he and Lauren talked about how to move forward from his bad choices and they decided to protect HU and Lauren by not telling anyone and to not let Hannah and Aspen know about each other.

I redirected Mr. Johnson to my initial question about anything physical between him and Victim 1 and the only thing he had discussed during this time was a hug that occurred. I asked Mr. Johnson if that was the only thing he is telling me happened between them and he responded in the negative. Mr. Johnson stated during treatment one time he ended up touching Victim 1's breasts during a pectoral release. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 told him she liked it and asked if

he would do it again and he agreed to do so. I asked Mr. Johnson if the touch was ever on the nipple area and he responded in the affirmative. Mr. Johnson demonstrated on himself how he worked his hand in a downward motion during the release initiating contact with the nipple of Victim 1. Mr. Johnson later stated his hand did not move but remained in one spot. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 was wearing a top and bra during this time. Mr. Johnson stated his touch would have been on top of clothing and also under Victim 1's shirt but on top of her sports bra. Mr. Johnson stated he performed this type of treatment on Victim 1 "numerous times." Mr. Johnson stated Lauren was in and out of the garage fixing dinner the time Victim 1 asked about having the chest release performed. Mr. Johnson stated he should not have performed this treatment without Lauren being in the room the entire time. Mr. Johnson denied any satisfaction from this treatment other than helping an athlete remain healthy.

I brought Mr. Johnson back to the testosterone cream and how he stated it changed his thoughts. I asked Mr. Johnson if he was talking about sexual thoughts and he responded in the affirmative with regards to Aspen and Hannah. Mr. Johnson stated he stopped using the testosterone cream around the time I first made contact with Victim 1 reference this investigation. Mr. Johnson stated the testosterone cream did not make him desire sex more but rather made him not want to stop once he began having sex. Mr. Johnson stated because of this the affairs with Hannah and Aspen continued longer than they should have. Mr. Johnson stated he was also more sexually active with his wife while using the medicated cream. I asked Mr. Johnson how things progressed from a coach/athlete relationship to a sexual relationship with Aspen and Hannah. Mr. Johnson stated with Aspen it progressed over several months as an emotional connection was formed between them. Mr. Johnson stated his wife is bi-polar and she had been abusive towards him. Mr. Johnson went on to describe overall stress in his marriage. Mr. Johnson discussed opportunities while in Oregon he could have had affairs with athletes but it never crossed his mind until returning to Huntington, IN. Mr. Johnson stated Aspen would make comments that he deserved to be treated better than what Lauren was treating him. Mr. Johnson stated divorce was discussed with Lauren so he got to a really low point thinking his marriage was going to end no matter what and Aspen found out her fiancé was cheating on her so that pushed them closer together. Mr. Johnson stated the HU team, while in Arizona, had a traumatic experience together involving a fatal accident and this troubled Aspen. Mr. Johnson stated one night he saw Aspen crying on the couch and she asked him to hold her. Mr. Johnson stated they were cuddling on the couch when Aspen began moving against his body causing him to get an erection. Mr. Johnson stated Aspen "grinded on me" until she had an orgasm. Mr. Johnson stated when they got back from Arizona he and Aspen would have sex whenever Lauren was out of town.

Mr. Johnson stated Hannah was a different situation but similar. Mr. Johnson stated Hannah always spoke sexually at practice and would make the statement he must be really good in bed to be married to Lauren because he was not good looking. Mr. Johnson stated this past spring while in Arizona with the HU team Hannah asked him why he was with Lauren and referenced how poorly Lauren treated Mr. Johnson. Nothing happened then but this past summer Mr. Johnson stated Hannah broke up with her boyfriend and asked Mr. Johnson to come to her apartment. Mr. Johnson stated Hannah was having problems sleeping and she asked him if he

would rub her back so he did. Mr. Johnson stated approximately a week later she asked him to come to her apartment again to rub her back and he did. Mr. Johnson stated this time he ended up falling asleep next to Hannah. Mr. Johnson stated when he woke up Hannah got on top of him and "grinded on me." Mr. Johnson stated he and Hannah had sex within a week after this and had sex a "handful of times." Mr. Johnson stated Hannah asked him if he was going to leave Lauren and he did not know. Mr. Johnson stated Hannah did not want to continue the affair if he was going to remain with Lauren. Mr. Johnson stated soon after this Victim 1 found out about the affairs and Mr. Johnson told Lauren about his infidelity.

I asked Mr. Johnson to explain to me why it would be any different with Victim 1 in Oregon than what happened with Aspen and Hannah. Mr. Johnson stated the relationship with Victim 1 was much different and he was more hesitant. Mr. Johnson explained how Aspen and Hannah had a similar past and he did not want to mess things up with Victim 1. Mr. Johnson went on to discuss all the lives that would be destroyed if what happened with Aspen and Hannah came out. I told Mr. Johnson he is going to have to come clean with people because everything was going to come out. I asked Mr. Johnson point blank if he had sex with Victim 1 and he responded in the negative. Mr. Johnson denied all forms of sexual intercourse with Victim 1. I asked Mr. Johnson about any sexual touches. Mr. Johnson stated the chest maneuver he performed on Victim 1 turned sexual. I asked about additional touches and Mr. Johnson stated there were and they were in conjunction with the chest release maneuver. I asked Mr. Johnson to explain how the touch with Victim 1 was sexual and different than any maneuver he might have performed on someone else. Mr. Johnson stated he would spend more time performing the maneuver on Victim 1. I asked Mr. Johnson if Victim 1 would have known it was a sexual touch and he responded in the affirmative. I explained to Mr. Johnson for the touch to be considered sexual then either he or Victim 1 had to receive sexual gratification from the touch. Mr. Johnson stated the touch was not for his own sexual gratification but believed Victim 1 was sexually gratified from the touch. Mr. Johnson later clarified these touches would have occurred right before they went to Oregon. I asked Mr. Johnson if the techniques he used on Victim 1 bypassed his ethical and moral obligations as a physical trainer. Mr. Johnson stated the releases were actual releases but what happened afterward was a violation. Mr. Johnson stated it is normally a painful release so when Victim 1 continued to ask for the release that is what tipped him off that it was more than just a release maneuver to Victim 1. Mr. Johnson agreed as a trainer he believed he crossed a line with Victim 1 during treatments. I asked Mr. Johnson if there was ever a touch that was obviously sexual because it involved Victim 1's vagina or a grab/grope of Victim 1's breasts. Mr. Johnson asked me to repeat the questions which I did. Mr. Johnson was quiet for a short time before stating Victim 1 did "grind on me." Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 asked if they could "do more" but he declined.

Mr. Johnson stated this occurred while in Oregon on the first night before he left the hotel room. Mr. Johnson stated it began with Victim 1 asking for the chest release and while Mr. Johnson performed this Victim 1 proceeded to touch herself in her vaginal area. Mr. Johnson stated they were on the bed and Victim 1 was covered with blankets so he assumed she was touching her vaginal area. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 was on her back leaning against his chest while he was sitting behind her performing the chest release. Mr. Johnson stated from the way

Victim 1 was moving and "gasping" he believed she was touching her vaginal area. Mr. Johnson stated he was touching Victim 1's breasts skin to skin in a "sensual nature" with his fingers touching Victim 1's nipple with more of a focus on Victim 1's nipples than her breasts. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 was completely topless as she was previously wearing a towel following a shower but it was removed. Mr. Johnson stated he eventually laid beside Victim 1 and she got on top of him while on the bed. Mr. Johnson stated he was wearing shorts and a t-shirt at first but can't remember if he removed his t-shirt. Mr. Johnson stated he could not tell if Victim 1 had any clothing on her lower half. Mr. Johnson stated his hands were on the towel on Victim 1's sides while Victim 1 was grinding on him. Mr. Johnson stated he was on his back while Victim 1 straddled him and moved her body back and forth. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 was making gasping sounds during this time. Mr. Johnson stated it was dark in the room so he could not see Victim 1's breasts. I asked Mr. Johnson if he ever saw Victim 1 naked and he stated any time Victim 1 was naked the lights were either off or he would not look. Mr. Johnson later explained how this was some sort of self-imposed boundary he put in place and he did similar things with Aspen and Hannah. I asked Mr. Johnson what made this stop and he stated he could not remember. Mr. Johnson stated they eventually went to sleep on the bed together. I asked Mr. Johnson if Victim 1 would have had sex with him if he wanted and he stated she probably would have. Mr. Johnson stated things between him and Victim 1 never progressed from what happened in Oregon to anything more. I later told Mr. Johnson I did not believe him when he stated he did not remember what made the sexual episode with Victim 1 stop. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 advised she was "overheating" and felt like she was going to pass out. Mr. Johnson stated he asked Victim 1 if she was okay and she stated she did not feel well. Mr. Johnson stated he got Victim 1 something to drink and she fell asleep. Mr. Johnson stated he eventually left and went on a walk. I asked Mr. Johnson when Victim 1 was grinding on him if he had an erection or orgasm and he responded to both in the negative. Mr. Johnson stated he knew he had made mistakes.

I asked Mr. Johnson about a time he and Victim 1 kissed and he stated there was a time. Mr. Johnson stated he kissed Victim 1 more than once on the lips but could not remember exactly when. Mr. Johnson stated he believed all the kisses occurred after the trip to Oregon. Mr. Johnson described the kiss as a peck that never progressed to using their tongue or "making out." Mr. Johnson stated he would not have done the type of kiss he did with Victim 1 in front of Lauren. Mr. Johnson stated Victim 1 initiated the first kiss but there was a time or two he initiated a kiss after the first time.

I told Mr. Johnson using [REDACTED] name is a crime and he stated his agreement. I told Mr. Johnson the fraudulent means he used to transport a child out of the state is kidnapping and he stated, "Okay." I told Mr. Johnson I had no jurisdiction over what happened in Oregon. I told Mr. Johnson the touches he performed in his garage could be considered child seduction. Mr. Johnson was nodding his head in agreement during this time as I explained the possible charges he was facing. I asked Mr. Johnson if there was anything else he needed to tell me and he stated he had questions. Mr. Johnson stated he did not know if he was going to get arrested tonight or not but he was concerned about Lauren being alone. Mr. Johnson wanted to know if Lauren was still at the police department and asked if he was going to jail tonight because he did not want



Lauren to be alone. Mr. Johnson informed me there was a handgun at their residence and that was a concern of his. I asked Mr. Johnson if they both left here tonight would there be an issue with his or Lauren's safety and he responded in the negative. Mr. Johnson stated he destroyed everything and he does not want to hurt anyone else so whatever he needs to plea to he is willing to plea to because he knows he abused his power. Mr. Johnson stated he wants to protect Victim 1 and HU. I told Mr. Johnson there is going to be fallout no matter what but I would try to handle things delicately. I told Mr. Johnson he phrased it well by saying he abused his power but my concern was Victim 1 and the manipulation she has undergone due to him. I told Mr. Johnson he was not to contact Victim 1 and to ignore any attempted contacts from Victim 1.

I asked Mr. Johnson if he had attempted to recruit Victim 1 to HU. I showed Victim 1 an image I recovered from Victim 1's Google photos (IMG\_5741) depicting a handwritten note by him on HU letterhead. Mr. Johnson stated he did tell Victim 1 she would have a full tuition scholarship offer at HU if she chose to attend. Mr. Johnson stated HU staff would be aware of this offer. Mr. Johnson stated he wanted Victim 1 to attend HU for schooling but the focus would have been professional athletics and not HU athletics. While looking at printouts of text conversations between Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 I asked if he would agree that the conversations were inappropriate for a coach and an athlete. Mr. Johnson stated there were several conversations between him and Victim 1 that were inappropriate. I stepped out of the interview room at approximately 8:24 PM to speak with Huntington County Prosecutor Amy Richison via telephone. Mr. Johnson stated again he wanted to do whatever it took to protect Victim 1 and HU.

I returned to the interview room with Mr. Johnson at approximately 8:36 PM. I told Mr. Johnson I continued to struggle with his description of what happened in Oregon with the grinding incident. I told Mr. Johnson he already told me he was using testosterone cream while in Oregon and how he previously said it increased his sexual desire once involved in sexual acts to the point he did not want to stop. I told Mr. Johnson it was difficult for me to believe he did not have an erection while Victim 1 was grinding on top of him. Mr. Johnson now stated he did not have a "full erection." I asked Mr. Johnson if he enjoyed what Victim 1 was doing and he stated he actually did not because he felt conflicted. Mr. Johnson later stated he thought Victim 1 was enjoying it. I asked Mr. Johnson again if other sexual acts occurred, specifically oral sex, and he responded in the negative while nodding his head once. Mr. Johnson denied Victim 1 ever touching him. I asked Mr. Johnson if he had his cell phone with him and he responded in the affirmative and removed the device from his pants pocket. I asked Mr. Johnson if there was anything on his device that would suggest he was not telling me the truth. Mr. Johnson responded in the negative. Mr. Johnson asked if he could show me something on his cell phone and I responded in the affirmative. Mr. Johnson opened the device in my presence and showed text conversations between him and Victim 1 and at times Lauren was included.

Mr. Johnson began talking about how he and Victim 1 attempted to find out what was said that led to an investigation being conducted. Mr. Johnson discussed rumors he heard from individuals about how he was going to be arrested for rape. Mr. Johnson stated this led to a meeting with Mr. Degitz and Mrs. Teusch to discuss the rumors/allegations being discussed

within the community. Mr. Johnson stated they began hearing rumors the investigation started because Mrs. Teusch was attempting to hide something from her past and I was using it to advance my career and I would do whatever it took to "nail me for this" to get a promotion. Mr. Johnson stated he heard all of this from community members. Mr. Johnson stated [REDACTED] believed the rumors of people being out to get Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 which upset her. After Mr. Johnson finished his tangent that had nothing to do with what I asked him so I redirected him to the content on his cell phone. I explained to Mr. Johnson my credentials as a mobile device examiner (MDE) and asked him if I conducted an acquisition on his device would I find anything and before I could finish my statement Mr. Johnson stated I would see inappropriate sexual conversations between him and Victim 1. Mr. Johnson stated they recently discussed a sexual experience between the two of them and whether or not it would happen in the future. Mr. Johnson stated it was a sexual experience Victim 1 wanted to have happen between them. Mr. Johnson later stated the messages would support that additional sexual acts have not occurred between him and Victim 1 because the messages express a desire of something that had not yet occurred. I asked Mr. Johnson for his consent to perform a data acquisition of his mobile device. I informed Mr. Johnson he had every right to tell me no. Mr. Johnson stated his only concern would be incriminating information other athletes have disclosed to him and clarified it involved alcohol and drug use by athletes. Mr. Johnson stated his old phone was broken but provided written consent of a data acquisition to be performed on his mobile device. This form is attached to this case report. I again asked Mr. Johnson if he and Lauren were to leave tonight if they would both be okay and Mr. Johnson assured me things would be okay between them. I left the interview room at approximately 9:01 PM to speak with Mrs. Johnson and while doing this Mr. Johnson again expressed his desire to protect HU and the community as much as possible because he had done enough damage already.

I met briefly with Mrs. Johnson alone in an interview room to discuss my interview with Mr. Johnson. I told Mrs. Johnson my main concern was her and Mr. Johnson's safety if Mr. Johnson was not taken to jail tonight. Mrs. Johnson asked what would happen next and I explained the steps I would be taking in the near future. I again told Mrs. Johnson I wanted to make sure she and Mr. Johnson would be okay. Mrs. Johnson stated she believed things would be civil between them and there was no need for me to remove a firearm from the residence when I brought that up to her. I asked Mrs. Johnson not to speak with [REDACTED] or Victim 1 until the investigation was over. Mrs. Johnson asked me if it was likely charges would be filed against Mr. Johnson and I responded in the affirmative. I explained to Mrs. Johnson once charges were filed then it would be public information at that time. I told Mrs. Johnson she and Mr. Johnson had an opportunity to speak with HU administration before charges are filed as it would not happen this week. Mrs. Johnson assured me she and Mr. Johnson would be physically safe if they both left HPD this evening.

I returned to the interview room with Mr. Johnson at approximately 9:13 PM. I told Mr. Johnson all of this would eventually come out and he had an opportunity to speak with HU about all of this but again reminded him there could not be any contact with Victim 1. I told Mr. Johnson he was free to go at this time and he continued to remain seated. I asked Mr. Johnson if he had any questions and he asked about the procedure to agree to whatever will most protect

HU and Victim 1 and then stated it might be a question for Prosecutor Richison (Amy). Mr. Johnson asked about the process after charges were filed and I explained this to him. Mr. Johnson apologized to me for the attitudes and actions towards me, due to this investigation, that were undeserved and then thanked me for doing my job. All interviews between Mr. and Mrs. Johnson were audio and video recorded and copies are attached to this case report.

On December 3, 2020 HPD Detective Captain Cory Boxell and I met with [REDACTED] in an interview room at the Huntington City Police Department. [REDACTED] came in per my request reference Victim 1's cell phone. [REDACTED] stated she put the cell phone on airplane mode per my earlier request to prevent connection to the network. [REDACTED] provided written consent for a data acquisition to be performed on the mobile device. I informed [REDACTED] the reason I asked Det. Boxell to join us today was to discuss a third interview with Victim 1. I informed [REDACTED] I had interviewed Victim 1 twice now and she had not been honest with me either time. I told [REDACTED] the plan was to have Det. Boxell in the interview room when I spoke with Victim 1 again. This was largely due to Victim 1 knowing Det. Boxell from community circles. [REDACTED] agreed Det. Boxell would have the best chance at getting Victim 1 to tell the truth. [REDACTED] stated Victim 1 was still not being honest with her so she did not have any advice for me or Det. Boxell on how to get the truth from Victim 1. [REDACTED] was concerned the most important thing to Victim 1 right now was Nick which would give her plenty of reason not to tell the truth. [REDACTED] stated Nick had all of them fooled and even she believed him. During this conversation [REDACTED] stated conversations between Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 had been deleted from Victim 1's device. As a team we continued to discuss the best approach to interviewing Victim 1 and services that would benefit Victim 1. I advised [REDACTED] to contact me if for some reason Mr. Johnson attempted to contact her or Victim 1. I asked [REDACTED] based on conversations about me with Victim 1, if it would be better if I was not in the interview room with Det. Boxell and Victim 1 tomorrow. [REDACTED] stated she did not believe any of this at first and she felt terrible because I had been vilified in the process because she did not believe any of this was possible. As a team we decided Det. Boxell would interview Victim 1 alone the following day. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

On December 3, 2020 Mrs. Johnson came to the Huntington City Police Department per my request to collect Mr. Johnson's cell phone as previously arranged with both Mr. and Mrs. Johnson. I had Mrs. Johnson sign for the device upon return.

On December 4, 2020 [REDACTED] and Victim 1 arrived at the Huntington City Police Department for an interview. [REDACTED] waited alone in an interview room while Det. Boxell met alone with Victim 1 in a separate interview room. During this interview there was a break when Det. Boxell and I met with [REDACTED] alone while Victim 1 remained in a separate

interview room before Det. Boxell returned to speak with Victim 1. Please see Det. Boxell's supplemental report detailing his interview with Victim 1.

After Det. Boxell spoke with Victim 1 we both met with [REDACTED] while Victim 1 remained in a separate interview room. Prior to Det. Boxell entering the interview room I returned Victim 1's cell phone to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] signed for the device. Once Det. Boxell joined [REDACTED] and me in the interview room he gave [REDACTED] the option on how much information she would like based on Det. Boxell's conversation with Victim 1. [REDACTED] stated she wanted to know everything but was scared to know the details. [REDACTED] was made aware of the disclosure Victim 1 made during her interview with Det. Boxell reference incidents that occurred in Oregon as well as in Huntington County, Huntington, IN. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Both Det. Boxell and I suggested counseling services for Victim 1 based on the information she provided in her interview with Det. Boxell and I later provided [REDACTED] with printed literature reference counseling services. I again reminded [REDACTED] there should be no contact between Victim 1 and Mr. Johnson. I advised [REDACTED] of a text message I saw on Mr. Johnson's cell phone soon after she and Victim 1 left the Huntington City Police Department. I informed [REDACTED] I believed the message was sent from Victim 1 and it included an apology from the sender. Det. Boxell and I discussed boundaries and safety measures [REDACTED] could take to keep Victim 1 safe in the future. Det. Boxell returned to the interview room with Victim 1 to ask additional questions before both Victim 1 and Det. Boxell joined [REDACTED] and me in the interview room where [REDACTED] was waiting. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

On December 9, 2020 I spoke with Mrs. Johnson via telephone. I asked Mrs. Johnson about photographing the inside of the attached garage located at 411 Edith Street, Huntington, IN. Mrs. Johnson advised the garage had been rearranged and items removed to allow room for vehicles during the winter weather. During this conversation Mrs. Johnson advised her employment was on leave with HU pending the outcome of this investigation. Mrs. Johnson stated HU administrators were now aware of the two HU athletes involved in extramarital affairs with Mr. Johnson. Mrs. Johnson did not have any questions for me at this time.

On December 11, 2020 a warrant for the arrest of Nicholas E. Johnson (1/0701987) was issued based upon information I presented in a probable cause affidavit for the following charges: child seduction, a Level 5 felony; child seduction, a Level 6 felony; kidnapping, a Level 6 felony; and identity deception, a Level 6 felony. On this same day Mr. Johnson turned himself in to the Huntington County Jail reference his warrant before posting bond. Prior to Mr. Johnson's arrest warrant being issued I did attempt to schedule a second interview but was

contacted by Mr. Johnson's attorney and advised there would be no additional interviews with Mr. Johnson.

On December 17, 2020 Huntington County Prosecutor Amy Richison and I met with Lisa McDonald and Meg McDonald per their request. Lisa reached out to Prosecutor Richison to schedule the meeting as Meg, an athlete at HU, wanted to discuss possible relevant information with the lead investigator in this matter. Prosecutor Richison and I met alone with Lisa in an interview room while Meg remained in the lobby. I began the interview with Lisa by gathering identification information. I asked Lisa to explain why she wished to speak with us today. Lisa explained following Mr. Johnson's arrest in the media and the information released thereafter led to conversations between her and Meg. They were not sure whether or not the information was relevant but wanted to speak with law enforcement. Lisa described a one on one conversation Meg had with Mr. Johnson and how Mr. Johnson told Meg about accusations being made against him regarding Victim 1. Lisa also stated the dates released through the media were of concern because during that same time Meg was asked by the family of Victim 1 to watch [REDACTED] overnight on August 5, 2020 and to take [REDACTED] to school the following morning.

Lisa talked about overall concerns as a parent of an athlete at HU and mentioned the treatments Mr. Johnson would provide for athletes at his residence and at HU. As far as Lisa was aware Meg was never alone with Mr. Johnson during treatments. Lisa stated Meg had told her how persuasive and charismatic Mr. Johnson can be and how he can talk people into a lot of things. This led to a conversation of Meg's recruitment to HU and how Mr. Johnson would shower Meg with gifts during her recruitment. Lisa had no direct knowledge of any criminal acts involving Mr. Johnson and Victim 1 but wanted us to speak with Meg per her request. I asked Lisa to switch places with Meg and wait in the lobby while we spoke with Meg alone in an interview room.

I began the interview with Meg by gathering identification information. Meg talked about a pre-season meeting she had with Mr. Johnson to discuss goals for the upcoming athletic season. Meg stated this was a one on one meeting in a public location at HU. Meg stated around the time of this meeting there was a vandalism incident in the community and many were saying it was Victim 1. Because of this, Meg asked Mr. Johnson how Victim 1 was doing knowing the close relationship between Mr. and Mrs. Johnson and Victim 1. Meg stated what should have been a ten to fifteen minute conversation turned into approximately a one hour conversation.

During this meeting Mr. Johnson told Meg about how it was his training plan that led to Victim 1's athletic success and not her acting coach before discussing a long history of competition between Mr. Johnson and Victim 1's coach. Mr. Johnson discussed other HU athletes and their personal struggles and often stated his residence was a "safe house" for his athletes. Mr. Johnson told Meg about a meeting he had with Mrs. Teusch to discuss Victim 1 and how he was not supposed to see her or speak with her anymore.

Meg stated Mr. Johnson told her about an accusation made against him involving him forcing Victim 1 to give him oral sex in his living room. Mr. Johnson told Meg he wasn't even in Indiana when this allegation was made but rather in Arizona. Mr. Johnson told Meg he spoke with HU administrators about the allegations and they stated they trusted him and supported him. Meg stated during this whole conversation they did not once talk about her goals which was the whole reason for the meeting. Meg stated this meeting occurred approximately two weeks before the HU Fall 2020 semester began.

Meg stated she recently heard the dates of the charges against Mr. Johnson and stated on August 5, 2020 she was asked by [REDACTED] to help with [REDACTED] as Victim 1 and [REDACTED] were going to be gone. Meg stated she was not given any specifics and she did not ask. Meg stated the only thing she heard was [REDACTED] stated they could not wait for it to come out because it was very exciting.

Meg told us about the treatments at Mr. and Mrs. Johnson's residence. Meg stated the treatments took place in the garage and there were two to three massage tables. Meg stated Mr. Johnson would perform leg flushes on the calf, hamstring, and quad; hip resets; IT band treatments; and back adjustments. Meg stated she never saw treatments occur without another athlete present or Mrs. Johnson and she never received a treatment without another person present besides Mr. Johnson. Meg stated team massages were typically on Wednesdays and Victim 1 would be there occasionally. Meg stated Mr. Johnson would always have the garage full of snacks for the athletes and would take snack requests regularly.

I asked Meg about any specific concerns with regards to Victim 1 and she stated there was one time she was leaving the HU fieldhouse late at approximately 9:30-10:00 PM on a Friday night when she saw Victim 1 entering the fieldhouse. Meg stated only Mr. Johnson was still present following a team event and Victim 1 was there to meet with Mr. Johnson. Meg stated the team received treatments in the fieldhouse on the pole vault mats but she did not see anyone else in the building other than the HU student working the front desk. Meg also told us about a message Victim 1 posted on the VSCO application. Meg stated she believed it was from Mr. Johnson but could not say for certain as the profile information was cropped out. The message was posted on September 4, 2020 with a timestamp on the posted image of 11:08 PM. I photographed the post on Meg's cell phone and later saved it to the digital evidence (ACT) file as (VSCO Image). This image matches an image from Victim 1's cell phone showing the message to be from Mr. Johnson (IMG\_6838). Meg discussed another incident involving Victim 1 she found odd. Meg stated during a conversation with Victim 1 around the end of July 2020 Victim 1 stated she received a call from Mrs. Johnson at approximately 1:00 AM very upset and wondering if Mr. Johnson was with Victim 1. Meg stated she found it odd that Mrs. Johnson would think Mr. Johnson would be with Victim 1 at that time of day.

Meg briefly discussed the process HU administrators took to inform her and other athletes about the dismissal of Mr. Johnson from HU and how they received very little information from HU as to why. This interview was audio and video recorded and a copy is attached to this case report.

Digital evidence reports were created reference the data acquisitions of Mr. Johnson's and Victim 1's cell phones. The digital evidence reports have been attached to this case report and the digital evidence was placed in separate folders in the digital evidence (ACT) file.

A National Crime Information Center (NCIC) Interstate Identification Index (Triple III) criminal history background check was conducted on Mr. Johnson using his full name, date of birth, and social security number and is attached to this case report.

A copy of this case report was forwarded to the Indiana Department of Child Services Huntington local office for their records.

During the course of this investigation I was in communication with Chief Matthew Carmichael of the University of Oregon Police Department. Chief Carmichael assisted by putting me in contact with Sergeant Tim Haywood with the Eugene Police Department. This case was forwarded to Sgt. Haywood for review reference possible criminal activity that occurred in the jurisdiction of the Eugene Police Department.

All interview narratives included in this case report are in summary format and should not be considered a transcript. For a complete narrative of each interview, please refer to any attached copies and/or digital recordings placed into the digital evidence (ACT) file.

---

Detective Sergeant Shane Blair

Huntington City Police Department

Unit 116

**Huntington City Police Department**

**Investigative Report: Child Seduction, Kidnapping and Identity Deception - Supplement**

**Case # H20-14578**

**Friday, December 4, 2020**

This morning at approximately 9:00am I met with Victim 1 in the front Interview room at the City Police Department. This interview was digitally recorded (DVD) and a copy of the interview is attached to this report. The following is a brief synopsis of the interview. For complete details, please refer to the digital recording.

Victim 1 and I shared a brief conversation regarding the length of the investigation and the events which led up to the interview this morning. I attempted to set Victim 1 at ease as we discussed my role in the investigation. I spoke about honesty and the need to tell the truth when Victim 1 volunteered that she had a conversation last evening with her mother regarding honesty. I asked Victim 1 to tell me about the conversation and what she told her mother. **Victim 1 said she wasn't ready to give her mother details but told her, "Me and Nick's (Nicholas Johnson) friendship got way too close. He started saying that he would do things that I would enjoy, only if I wanted it."** Victim 1 said she told her mother that the conversations between Nick and herself were **"very sensual" and "not appropriate."** Victim 1 said she spoke with her mother about **"the need to stop when we knew it wasn't okay" but that never happened.**

As I began to gather more detail of the statements Victim 1 provided to her mother, she asked if she could start from the beginning. Victim 1 stated **Nick and Lauren Johnson were like a family to her.** She would often visit their house for friendship and mentorship outside of running. Victim 1 further explained her **relationship with her biological father has been strained** over the past several years. She specifically spoke about a night when she left the Johnson house to find **a letter on her vehicle from her father.** Victim 1 stated **this "freaked" her out.** From that point forward, Victim 1 stated Nick would walk her to her car whenever she left the residence. Victim 1 said Nick would check the car for her. He told her if there were any notes left on the vehicle he would take them and give them to her mother. It should be noted I later spoke with Victim 1's mother about this and she seemed confused. Victim 1's mother said she was aware of the original note left on the car, but she never received any additional notes from Mr. Johnson.



Victim 1 went on to state that one night while leaving the Johnson house Nick gave her a hug goodbye. Victim 1 said **they were going to kiss when Nick pushed her away and told her they couldn't do that.** Victim 1 agreed and said it felt really awkward so she got in her car and left. A day or so later Victim 1 said she was talking to Nick when **he asked her if she really wanted to kiss him.** Victim 1 advised she **"was in a really bad place, a really dark place mentally"** and she told him **"I don't know, maybe."** Victim 1 said Nick told her he would and **"he said he would do things, but only if I wanted them. If I ever didn't, I just had to tell him to stop, and get away, and he would respect that."** Victim 1 advised this incident and subsequent conversation **took place in July of this past summer.**

When I asked Victim 1 what happened next, she briefly spoke about the planning for the trip to Oregon. She said Nick told her he was going to Oregon to pick up a new puppy. Victim 1 advised **Oregon is her "dream state" and she would really like to attend the University of Oregon.** She stated she made comments to Nick, in a joking fashion, referencing how she would like to go to Oregon. Victim 1 said Nick told her he might take her with him and he **"came up with a plan"** to do so. I asked Victim 1 to describe the plan and she stated **Nick pretended to be the Oregon track coach.** She said **he sent "fake emails" to her to "make it look like I was going on a college visit."** I asked Victim 1 to tell me about their conversations leading up to the trip. She advised there was not much conversation and described it as **"very brief."** However, Victim 1 said she knew **the plan was for her and Nick to travel alone together.** She was also aware **her mother did not know the truth and neither did Lauren Johnson.** Victim 1 described herself as **"freaked out every day"** by not telling her mother the truth.

This conversation led me to ask Victim 1 what Nick would share with her about his relationship with his wife. Victim 1 said Nick described his marriage as **a rough relationship because Lauren suffers from bi-polar disorder.** He told Victim 1 **Lauren is "really abusive to him" but he "tries his best to make her happy."** Victim 1 said Nick told her **Lauren has threatened to leave him, she's punched him and tried to stab him but he "could never let her go" as they've been together since high school.** I reminded Victim 1 of Mr. Johnson's age and that he has been in a relationship with his wife since the two of them were Victim 1's age. I asked her if she felt this was appropriate conversation for Mr. Johnson to share with her based on their age difference. Victim 1 said she didn't look at the conversation from my perspective because she didn't see Lauren that way. Victim 1 said **she felt bad for Nick and she was scared for him when he shared these things with her.**

I then asked Victim 1 to explain the details surrounding the planning of the trip. She advised Nick would send her emails, but she really didn't read them. Victim 1 said Nick would text her or call her to tell her he was going to **"send an email in order to make the trip look official."** Sometimes Victim 1 would read the emails and sometimes she would show them to her mother. Victim 1 said her mother didn't ask many questions. **Victim 1's knowledge of the actual planning for the trip appeared minimal.**

Victim 1 advised the majority of their communication was done through a texting application called **WhatsApp**. She explained this App is commonly used within the Huntington University Track & Cross Country teams for group messaging purposes. Victim 1 further explained that Apple limits members in a group text on an iPhone to twenty (20) people. Sometimes there are technical issues between iPhones and Android phones in group texts as well. Victim 1 said Nick and Lauren use WhatsApp to text when they travel outside of the United States as they can then communicate through the App via Wi-Fi without paying excessive cellular fees.

I asked Victim 1 why her conversations with Nick were no longer in the WhatsApp on her phone. Victim 1 stated **she deleted the conversations** when she and Lauren thought it would be best for them (Nick, Lauren & Victim 1) to communicate in a group text "since all this was talked about." Victim 1 was referring to her initial interview at McKenzie's Hope Child Advocacy Center. Victim 1 said they also created a group chat with her mother as well (Nick, Lauren, Victim 1 and her mother). However, even after these group chats were created, Victim 1 said **Nick would still message her directly so they could "communicate a little extra."**

I asked Victim 1 to tell me about the additional text messages and touches she shared with Nick since their return from Oregon. Victim 1 said, since they returned from Oregon, whenever they've been around one another, there have always been other people present. She said the text messages made reference to physical acts, but **it wasn't something they "acted on."** She further described the texts as **"sensual."** When I asked for clarification on the physical touches since Oregon, Victim 1 stated, **"I mean, we have, that's not what I meant to say. Like, it's weird because obviously we haven't been alone or in a hotel room or anything" (since their return from Oregon).** Victim 1 clarified by stating Nick has **brushed his hand across her back, stroked her arm and slid his hand onto her butt when no one was looking.** When I asked her where these touches took place, Victim 1 said they happened **at the Johnson house and at her own home.** Victim 1 gave a specific example of a time when Lauren was taking the dogs out to use the restroom but noted there were other times as well. She also mentioned a time when Nick came to her house to visit with her and her mother that touches like this happened.

Again, I tried to clarify the specifics of the text messages and their frequency. After some discussion, Victim 1 said she likely received **two sensual (sexual) messages per week** from Nick since they returned from Oregon. The messages generally referred to **touches Nick wanted to do to her that "would feel good."** Victim 1 specifically made reference to **Nick massaging her chest, rubbing her butt, fingering her, rubbing her thighs and kissing her body.** Again, when I asked Victim 1 how many messages she received like this, she thought for a moment and stated, **"I don't know.....a lot."** I then asked Victim 1 how she responded to these messages. She said her typical response would be

something like, "That sounds nice" or "I wish we could." Victim 1 stated, **"He made me feel like it was safe and okay, because it was like I had control over it, so I could say yes or no."** I asked Victim 1 if she ever sent message of this fashion to Nick and she stated that she had. She said her messages also described things she wished Nick would do to her if they were alone. I also asked Victim 1 if Nick ever asked her to do things to him or for him and she stated the messages never spoke of anything like that. Victim 1 then made reference to one of the nights in Oregon. She said they had eaten pizza and junk food and she did not feel comfortable with her body. When Nick tried to do things with her, she told him she did not feel comfortable and he left her alone.

Before discussing the trip to Oregon, I asked Victim 1 if anything else had happened between her and Nick since they returned from Oregon. She appeared hesitant and thought for a moment without speaking. I then asked her about the touches she had mentioned earlier and I repeated her description of the touches. I asked Victim 1 if these touches were always on the outside of her clothing and she advised they were not. Victim 1 stated, **"Sometimes, when he would be flushing my legs after a hard workout he would just put his hands in my shorts."** Victim 1 described flushing as a massaging technique using the thumbs of both hands to "rub the knots out of the muscles in the legs to get rid of lactic acid build up." She said **the Johnson's had three (3) massage tables set up in their garage.** Victim 1 stated this would often take place during team bonding time after hard workouts. The Johnson's also had lawn chairs set up in a circle for the runners to set in. Runners would then take turns on the tables flushing another runner who would lay on their stomach. Victim 1 said Lauren would often work on people as well.

I asked Victim 1 to tell me how Nick would transition from flushing her legs to putting his hands inside of her shorts. She described herself as lying on her stomach on a massage table. Victim 1 said Nick would flush her hamstrings and would move his hands up and down the back of her leg using his thumbs for pressure. There were times when no one else was in the room as they had stepped out for a minute or went into the house. Victim 1 said Nick **"would just put his hand up and just do something small and go back."** I asked Victim 1 to tell me what "something small" was. She then stated, **"Just like, using his fingers, I don't want to say tickle, that sounds really stupid, just, feel my vagina."** Victim 1 said when this would occur she was always wearing running shorts that are cut up the side with built-in underwear. When Victim 1 would wear this style of shorts, she would never wear additional underwear. Victim 1 said **these touches would typically last a couple of seconds** and were normally inside of the shorts but on the outside of the underwear. She said Nick would sometimes **caress her butt or gently squeeze it.** However, there were **one (1) or two (2) times Nick went inside of the built-in underwear and penetrated her vagina for a couple of seconds.** I attempted to clarify the penetration of the vagina and used the term "fingering" as Victim 1 had used earlier in our conversation. Victim 1 agreed that **Nick penetrated her vagina,** but repeated this only happened one (1) or two (2) times as the majority of the touches were inside the shorts but on the outside of the built-in underwear. Victim 1 said the

touches did not last longer than a few seconds as someone could have easily walked back in the garage at any time.

I asked Victim 1 if there were any other touches to private areas of the body since they returned from Oregon. Victim 1 said Nick may have touched the side of her breast. When I asked for clarification she said **he brushed the side of her boob with his hand on the outside of her clothing.** Victim 1 also remembered **two (2) kisses** since they returned from Oregon as well. She said **both kisses were on the lips and they both happened at the Johnson house.** One occurred when Lauren was in the shower. The other happened after Nick went out to check Victim 1's car before she left the house. When Nick returned and Victim 1 went to leave, she said Nick gave her a hug and kissed her on the lips. She described both kisses as short and stated they may have lasted a couple of seconds at the longest. Victim 1 said it was common for Nick to give her **"angel kisses"** and described them as small pecks on her forehead. She said **he also kissed her neck two (2) times** as well. I asked Victim 1 if Nick had ever performed a pectoral release maneuver on her which he had described in his initial interview with Det. Sgt. Blair. Victim 1 said this happened in Oregon, but Nick had not done this treatment on her in Indiana since basketball season. When he did the treatment then, **she admitted there were times when Nick "got a little low" while massaging her chest** for this maneuver. However, she said she told him he was getting too low and he moved his hands to another area.

After concluding the conversation regarding local incidents I asked Victim 1 to speak about the trip to Oregon. Victim 1 said **her mother drove her to Chicago Midway Airport** and dropped her off at the terminal. Victim 1 said she has prior experience with flying and travelling alone, therefore this was not out of the norm for her. Once through security, Victim 1 said she met Nick at the terminal. **Their seats were side by side on the plane** and they watched a movie together on Nick's phone during the flight. Victim 1 said she fell asleep during the movie with her head on his shoulder. Otherwise, there were **no physical touches that occurred on the plane.**

Once they landed, Victim 1 said they picked up the rental car and drove to the Nike Headquarters where they visited the Track in the Woods. They also stopped at a store called Ross where Nick purchased numerous Nike bags for the Huntington University runners. Victim 1 struggled to recall where they went next so I provided her with a copy of the itinerary Mr. Johnson had put together. Victim 1 advised they were **unable to exactly follow the itinerary** because the people they were getting the puppy from had changed the pickup time. Victim 1 said they did a lot of sightseeing, ate dinner and went to the hotel.

Once at the hotel, Victim 1 said there really wasn't anything to do so they started talking. She described herself as having a "mental breakdown" and she asked Nick to hold her. Victim 1 said they were sitting on the bed while Nick was holding her in his arms. He rubbed her back and ran his fingers through her hair. Victim 1 said it **"escalated" to him kissing and rubbing all over her body.** I asked her what she was wearing at the time and Victim 1 said she hadn't showered yet so she was wearing her running shorts and a t-shirt. The rubbing began on the outside of her clothes but **"worked under."** Victim 1 said **Nick removed all of her clothes and kissed all over her body while fingering her.** I later clarified what Victim 1 meant by fingering and she stated **Nick had his fingers inside of her vagina while kissing her body.**

After removing all of her clothes, Victim 1 said Nick removed his shirt approximately two (2) minutes later. She said it was dark in the room but **she was confident Nick also removed his shorts and was completely naked as well.** I asked Victim 1 to be specific as to where Nick was kissing on her body. She advised **he kissed her bare breast on her nipple and near her nipple.** She also said he kissed her on her **"pant line" and her "inner thighs."** I specifically asked Victim 1 if he kissed her vagina or performed oral sex on her. Victim 1 thought for a moment and stated, **"He got close to my vagina but..."** I asked her if he kissed her there and she stated, **"No, I think maybe the second night, but I told him no and he said that was fine."**

I asked Victim 1 what happened next and she stated, **"He said it would feel good to me if I grinded on him. And so, I tried it, but it was out of my comfort zone so I stopped and said I didn't want to do that. He said that was fine."** I asked Victim 1 to explain what she meant by grinding on him. Victim 1 then stated, **"Just laying on top of him, moving my hips over his genitals so I could feel it."** She described Nick as lying on his back while she was lying on top of him and they faced each other. Victim 1 said **she was completely naked and she thought Nick was naked as well.** I asked Victim 1 if her legs were straddling him and she said she could not remember. I asked her if Nick's penis was hard and she stated she did not know. Victim 1 advised she tried the grinding for a very short time and stopped because it was out of her comfort zone. I provided Victim 1 with more details regarding penetration of the female sex organ and I asked her if this could have happened while she was grinding on him. Victim 1's initial response was that **Nick told her they would never do that,** so it didn't happen. Again, I provided further clarification and I repeated the question. Victim 1 advised she did not think this was possible as she would have felt it if that happened.

I asked Victim 1 if she thought Nick's penis was hard at any time during the events she had described to me and she stated she wasn't sure. Victim 1 advised, in the past, Nick had made comments to her that **she "could get him hard"** but she didn't think she did. I asked her what that meant and Victim 1 said Nick talked to her about how much he **"loved my body and being with me"** and he told her **"you make me want to get hard."** I asked Victim 1 if she was aware of Nick having erectile issues

and she said **she did not know of him having any issues**. I asked her if she ever saw his penis while they were in Oregon together and she said she did not. I then asked her to elaborate and she said the room was always dark when they were together and she did not look at his penis. Victim 1 advised she believed Nick did not look at her private parts either because **he promised her he would keep his eyes closed**.

After grinding on him, I asked Victim 1 what happened next. She advised she felt tired from the long run and she told Nick she was going to take a shower. Victim 1 said she began her shower alone and the bathroom lights were on. While she was in the shower, Nick came into the bathroom, turned off the lights and entered the shower with her. Victim 1 said **Nick washed her hair and massaged her chest**. She described their body positioning as her facing away from him while he stood behind her with his chest to her back. I asked Victim 1 if she asked Nick to rub her chest and she stated, **"No, he asked me if I would be alright with it and I said yes."** Victim 1 stated Nick used one hand to reach around her and massage the muscles above her breasts. He then **"moved lower" onto her bare breasts**. She described the touches as cupping the breast over the nipple with a **"soft squeeze."** I asked Victim 1 if she could feel his body against her back and she advised she could. She said there was one time when Nick **"hugged" her from behind**. I asked Victim 1 if she could feel his penis then and she stated, **"Yeah."** When I asked her to tell me more about that, Victim 1 said, **"I don't know how to."** These details clearly made Victim 1 uncomfortable. I asked additional questions for clarification. Victim 1 admitted **she could feel Nick's penis on her butt and between her butt cheeks**. When I asked her if his penis was hard, Victim 1 stated she did not know.

Victim 1 said she exited the shower and dressed in the bedroom while Nick finished his shower. Victim 1 said nothing additional happened and she went to bed. I asked Victim 1 what she wore to bed and she stated a pair of shorts and a t-shirt. I clarified if Victim 1 wore underwear to bed and she stated she did not. She also advised she does not wear a bra while she sleeps as they cause her to wake up with a headache the next morning. Before getting in bed, Victim 1 said Nick asked her if she wanted him to sleep in the car. She told him she would worry about him in the car and that he could sleep in the bed. Victim 1 said they placed a pillow or two between them. However, she said she had difficulty sleeping and she asked Nick to rub her back in the night. **Nick rubbed her back under her shirt on her bare skin**. She also asked him to hold her and she described their positioning. Victim 1 said she was lying on her back while Nick placed his arm under her shoulders. At one point his other arm was over the top of her but he eventually moved it when they fell asleep.

The next morning Victim 1 said they went on a running tour along the river and saw a bunch of cool sights. She remembered running up a big hill to see **Pre's Rock** and they ate breakfast food for lunch at [REDACTED]. Victim 1 said they drove through the **University of Oregon campus** and they stopped at another Ross store to purchase additional bags for the Huntington University runners. They

also stopped at a doughnut shop where Victim 1 said she ate 3 doughnuts. Towards the end of the day Nick drove them to **Cannon Beach** where they walked in the sand, looked at the starfish and watched an amazing sunset. Victim 1 said they also ate dinner at a restaurant on the beach where she had a full meal and pie for desert.

After leaving Cannon Beach they drove to a different hotel where they met the people with the puppy. Nick purchased the puppy and they played with it outside. Victim 1 said they took the puppy on a long walk in an attempt to wear it out, but it had a lot of energy. I asked Victim 1 what she was wearing and she described her clothes as running shorts, a t-shirt, her sports bra and a hooded sweatshirt as it was chilly during their walk. It should be noted Det. Sgt. Blair recovered a picture that was taken by Nick of Victim 1 wearing these clothes as she cuddled with the puppy in the hotel bed. This photo was recovered from Victim 1's cellphone as Nick sent it to her upon their return to Indiana. I later asked Victim 1 what Nick was wearing and she thought he had on shorts and a quarter-zip long sleeve shirt due to the temperature.

Once in the hotel room, the puppy ran around the room and the two shared a hug. Victim 1 said she thanked Nick for sharing the experiences of Oregon with her and **he kissed her on the lips**. She described the kisses as **"a make out session"** as they stood beside the bed. Victim 1 said Nick picked her up and moved her onto the bed. The majority of her body was on the bed but her legs were hanging off to the side from her knees down. Victim 1 said Nick stood beside the bed and leaned over to kiss her. **He lifted her shirt and sports bra and began kissing her nipples**. Victim 1 said he kissed down her stomach while **he pulled her shorts down to her mid-thighs**. **Nick began kissing her vagina** when the puppy knocked over the trashcan and caused a mess. Victim 1 thought **Nick kissed her vagina two (2) times**. She said Nick stopped to check on the puppy and they both laughed about the situation. She then told Nick she did not feel comfortable with her body after eating everything throughout the day and she asked if they could go to bed. Victim 1 said Nick apologized for making her feel uncomfortable and stopped what they were doing.

Victim 1 said Nick began packing bags for their flight the next morning while she played with the puppy in bed. She said she fell asleep and assumed Nick got in bed at some point. Victim 1 said Nick woke her up the next morning with an **"angel kiss" on her forehead**. He asked her how she was feeling and she told him her body didn't like all of the junk food she had eaten the day before. Victim 1 said they packed the car and drove to see a waterfall but the falls were closed. She said they went to Panera for lunch and proceeded to the airport for the trip home. Victim 1 said Nick had to purchase another seat for the puppy and it road in a carrier in the seat between them.

Once back at Chicago Midway, Victim 1 said they went their separate ways and her mother picked her up at the terminal. Victim 1 described her initial encounter with her mother as "kinda scary" as **she knew she had lied to her about the trip**. Victim 1 said her mother was excited for her and asked about the details. She showed her mother the photos she had taken of the University and the surrounding area. Victim 1 said she felt bad and wanted to tell her mother, but she didn't know how.

As I moved towards the end of the interview I asked Victim 1 to tell me about her relationship with Hannah Stoffel and Aspen Dirr. My purpose was to learn of Victim 1's knowledge of Nick Johnson's prior affairs. Victim 1 explained she was never close with Stoffel. She felt Stoffel had "pity" for her when she tried to befriend her. Victim 1 said she believed Stoffel looked at her as the competition (athletically) instead of a friend. When asked about Dirr, Victim 1 said she really "dislikes" Aspen. She described Dirr as rude and mean to her. As she had noted earlier in the conversation, Victim 1 said she looks at Lauren Johnson as a role model. Victim 1 said Dirr would often degrade Lauren Johnson and openly say bad things about her. Victim 1 said she told Nick Johnson she was intimidated by Stoffel and Dirr and she didn't like training with them. **Nick told Victim 1 he would "make space" and he would "push them away" for her and he did.**

I asked Victim 1 if this conversation took place before she learned of Nick's sexual involvement with the two college runners and she stated it was around the same time. Victim 1 said she learned about the affairs before Lauren did and **she "went off" on Nick because she "knew it was wrong."** When I asked her how she learned of this, Victim 1 said there was nothing specific, but she read text messages on Nick's phone between he and Aspen. Victim 1 described the messages as **"flirty conversation"** and she confronted Nick. Victim 1 said **he admitted to having an affair with Aspen** and she asked him if there was anyone else. She immediately threw out Hannah Stoffel's name just to see what he would say and **he confessed to having an affair with her as well**. Victim 1 said they talked for a while, but she did not ask him for any details. This conversation took place outside the Johnson home one night while Lauren was inside. Victim 1 said this was **before anything physical happened between herself and Nick, prior to the Oregon trip.**

Victim 1 told Nick he needed to confess to Lauren or she would do it herself. She advised Nick told her he would tell his wife and he went inside. Victim 1 left the residence but spoke to both of the Johnson's the following day. She said Nick apologized to her and said he was "so sorry." **He told her he "cut them off completely" referring to Hannah Stoffel and Aspen Dirr.** Nick said he would supply the women with their workouts, from a coaching perspective, but would have no additional contact with them. When Victim 1 spoke with Lauren Johnson, Lauren advised she was going to confront the two women. Victim 1 said she did not want to speak with Stoffel and Dirr herself, but asked Lauren if she would share with her what the two had to say. Victim 1 said she met with Lauren afterwards and was able to **"hear Hannah and Aspen's side" as they also confessed to the affairs.**



As Victim 1 shared this with me, I asked her what she thought Lauren Johnson was feeling now. Victim 1 became emotional and hung her head as she stated Lauren is likely “really hurt” and “feels betrayed” by her actions. Victim 1 said, **“I feel horrible for doing that. But Nick made it seem like after he lost them he was so sad, that um...like, he needed me to fill the gap until he got help.”** I asked Victim 1 what she meant by him getting help. She said she felt Nick needed her there to support him because, in her conversations with Lauren, Lauren told her Nick needed to see a counselor because she (Lauren) thinks Nick has a “sex addiction.” Victim 1 went on to state, **“I felt horrible, even though I sort of did a good thing (bringing Nick’s affairs to light), I felt like I kind of ruined their lives. I just wanted to do anything to make it up to Nick, for him to feel better. Because, for some reason, Lauren, I didn’t think she was going to stay with him because they were talking about divorce.”** I confirmed with Victim 1 these conversation with Nick and Lauren took place prior to her trip to Oregon. Victim 1 stated they were mainly before the Oregon trip but advised there was additional conversation of divorce after their return from Oregon. Victim 1 said **Nick told her Lauren’s medications were off and she was “a little bit more abusive” towards him and they were considering a divorce.**

At this point in the interview I returned to the events in the garage to clarify a few things. I specifically asked about the incidents where Nick was flushing her hamstrings to provide “treatment.” I asked Victim 1 what she thought Nick’s purpose or intentions were when he went inside of her underwear and penetrated her vagina with his fingers. Victim 1 thought for a moment and stated, **“He told me it was...just to make me feel good.”** I asked her if the intent was to make her **feel good sexually** and she hesitated, then said, **“Yes.”** I elaborated on my thoughts and I asked Victim 1 if Nick ever tried to equate his sexual touches in a way that would benefit her running performance or if they were more for her sexual pleasure. Victim 1 confirmed his intentions were for her sexual pleasure by stating, **“It wasn’t running related.”**

In the context of this conversation I asked Victim 1 if she has ever had an orgasm and she stated she did not think so. I then asked her if Nick had ever spoken to her about having an orgasm and she stated, **“Yes, he said he didn’t want to go that far because that’s something women really enjoy. And he didn’t want me to have that experience and then get hooked on having that good feeling and not being able to get it again. Out in Oregon, he’s like, ‘I definitely don’t want to go that far because I don’t want to do it once and then never be able to...and have you going crazy over finding something to get that sensation again’.”** Victim 1 said Nick set boundaries. He told her he would never make her orgasm and he would never “go inside” of her with his penis.

As I concluded the interview I asked Victim 1 if she had any questions for me. We had a brief conversation regarding the future of this investigation, counseling and consequences. I informed Victim

1 we were going to take a break. I left the interview room at approximately 11:18am. Victim 1 was given the opportunity to use the restroom while I retrieved a bottle of water for her. I returned to the interview room at approximately 11:28am. Victim 1 and I had a brief conversation regarding cellphones and their capabilities. I informed Victim 1 that cellphones are a powerful tool, but they can also be very dangerous. I asked her if the things we discussed earlier would have happened without the cellphone and she agreed, **they likely would not have happened.** I left the Interview room again at approximately 11:32am to speak with Det. Sgt. Blair and Victim 1's mother.

Det. Sgt. Blair and I met privately with Victim 1's mother in the upstairs interview room. This interview was digitally recorded (DVD) and a copy is attached to this report. Det. Blair and I briefed Victim 1's mother on the details of the interview. Please see Det. Blair's report for details of this conversation.

After speaking with Victim 1's mother, at approximately 12:15pm I returned to the downstairs interview room again for some follow up questions with Victim 1. I informed her I had briefed her mother on general details of our interview and Victim 1 appeared relieved. I also advised her not to have any contact with Nick Johnson until this case is resolved and she assured me she would not. I asked her if she contacted Mr. Johnson after her previous interview with Det. Sgt. Blair and she advised she did. Victim 1 said **she used an old iPod and a texting application. She sent him a message telling him she was sorry.** I then reminded Victim 1 of our earlier conversation regarding text messages between herself and Nick Johnson. I asked her if the two **ever exchanged sexual pictures** through digital media and **she said they did not.** I reminded Victim 1 of the picture of Nick found on her phone where Mr. Johnson is shirtless and very sweaty. Victim 1 cringed and stated she thought the picture was gross. She admitted Nick sent her the photo but assured me there were no photos of a sexual nature exchanged or requested by either person. The interview with Victim 1 was completed at approximately 12:27pm. Victim 1 was then reunited with her mother in the upstairs interview room.

**Huntington City Police Department**

**Detective Captain Cory R. Boxell unit #139**